

From: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
Sent: Wednesday, February 01, 2017 5:27 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Feb 4th - potential protest

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Can you or (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) give me a quick call about our latest updates on the EO?

Brian J. Humphrey
Director, Field Operations
San Francisco and Portland Field Offices
33 New Montgomery Street, Suite 1620
San Francisco, California 94105
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, February 01, 2017 2:25 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Feb 4th - potential protest

FYI..

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) SFO
Chief Operating Officer
San Francisco International Airport | P.O. Box 8097 | San Francisco, CA 94128
Tel: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) | Cell: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) | Fax: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) | www.flysfo.com

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, February 01, 2017 2:14 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: Feb 4th - potential protest

Just spotted this. I'll keep digging for more info

 49 * (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) 7m 

Going to #SFO ON Feb 4? Looks like the airport protest movement fizzled after 1/31. Only one remains at @flySFO on Feb 4.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) SFO
Director of Communications | Communications & Marketing
San Francisco International Airport | P.O. Box 8097 | San Francisco 94128
Tel: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) | www.flysfo.com

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Sunday, January 29, 2017 1:12 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Owen, Todd C (AC OFO); WAGNER, JOHN P; HOFFMAN, TODD A; (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) HUTTON, JAMES R; OFO Field Programs; ENFORCEMENT PROGRAMS DIVISION
Cc: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO); (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: EO 212(f) Exemption for (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(5), (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 Deputy Chief Counsel
 U.S. Customs and Border Protection
 (Office)
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (Mobile)

ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED/ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT

This communication might contain communications between attorney and client, communications that are part of the agency deliberative process, or attorney work product, all of which are privileged and not subject to disclosure outside the agency or to the public. Please consult with the Office of Chief Counsel, U.S. Customs and Border Protection before disclosing any information contained in this email.

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Sunday, January 29, 2017 12:48 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 Owen, Todd C (AC OFO) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) WAGNER, JOHN P (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 HOFFMAN, TODD A (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 HUTTON, JAMES R (b)(6), (b)(7)(C); OFO Field Programs (b)(7)(E)
 ENFORCEMENT PROGRAMS DIVISION (b)(7)(E)
Cc: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: EO 212(f) Exemption for (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Waiver Narrative

OFO recommends that you (b)(5), (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)

(b)(5), (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)

(b)(5), (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Section Chief – Tactical Branch
Customs and Border Protection
Area Port of San Francisco

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) cell

From: GovExec Today (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, January 30, 2017 5:41 AM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
Subject: Why some feds are leaving; VA's freeze exemptions; Trump's conflicts



GovExec Today

January 27, 2017

Problems viewing? [View as a web page](#)



[Exploiting Public Office for Private Gain](#) // Steven L. Schooner and Kathleen Clark

A primer on government corruption.

['I Can't Get Out Fast Enough': Meet the Feds Who Say They're Leaving Under Trump](#) //

Eric Katz

Many federal employees say they won't wait out the new administration.

[Meet the Trump Cabinet: A Cheat Sheet Guide](#)

Few presidential transitions have been as fraught with uncertainty as the one associated with the upcoming Trump Administration. To understand how the Trump presidency may play out, it's important to look at the individuals tapped for his key Cabinet positions who will lead the government's key federal agencies.

[This card stack](#) breaks down each Cabinet nomination to date and helps fill in the gaps of uncertainty that exist within the incoming Trump administration.

[Explore Now](#)

[VA Exempts Roughly 100 Job Titles From Hiring Freeze, Leaves Door Open For More](#) //

Kellie Lunney

Doctors, nurses, police, engineers and cemetery caretakers are among the positions listed in the acting secretary's memo.

[What Trump's Executive Order on Immigration Does—and Doesn't Do](#) // Krishnadev

Calamur

The order has caused chaos; White House statements haven't clarified what's going on.

[How Trump Plans to Slash Regulations by 75 Percent](#) // Charles S. Clark

As the new administration seeks to curtail Obama's rules, some look to Britain for a model.

[One Reason for GSA's Silence on Trump Hotel: It's Complicated](#) // Charles S. Clark

There's no easy solution for an agency caught in a highly politicized situation.

[How Trump Plans to Slash Regulations by 75 Percent](#) // Charles S. Clark

As the new administration seeks to curtail Obama's rules, some look to Britain for a model.

[How Reporters Misread Trump's First Week in Office](#) // Donald F. Kettl

The new president's opening act has disrupted governing traditions, but it's the second act that matters most.

[Despite Breaches, Many Americans Don't Worry About ID Theft](#) // Mohana Ravindranath

However, a survey found that over half of those concerned about identity theft trust the public sector to protect their personal information better than private-sector institutions.

[Obama's White House Webmaster Says We Should Cut Trump's Team Some Slack](#) //

Georgia Frances King

There's plenty of reason to believe changes and glitches are just par for the course.

[Lawmaker Still Wants Feds to Stop Watching Porn at Work, Plus Other Bills to Watch](#) //

Heather Kuldell

Rep. Mark Meadows introduced the Eliminating Pornography from Agencies Act in the 113th and 114th sessions in response to a fed who reportedly watched two to six hours of it a day at work.

[Big Questions Hang Over State Budgets as Trump Era Begins](#) // Bill Lucia

"I think it's going to be stormy," one expert said at an Urban Institute panel discussion on what may be in store for state budgets in the years ahead.

[What's Up With the Office of Management and Budget Website?](#) // Frank Konkel

Currently, the integral agency—a repository for memoranda issued by the executive branch—is without a website.

[State Procurement Officers Release Priorities for 2017](#) // Mitch Herckis

Fundamental growth of centralized procurement leads the way.

[Meet the Trump Cabinet: A Cheat Sheet Guide](#)

Few presidential transitions have been as fraught with uncertainty as the one associated with the upcoming Trump Administration. To understand how the Trump presidency may play out, it's important to look at the individuals

tapped for his key Cabinet positions who will lead the government's key federal agencies.

[This card stack](#) breaks down each Cabinet nomination to date and helps fill in the gaps of uncertainty that exist within the incoming Trump administration.

[Explore Now](#)

[GOVERNMENT EXECUTIVE](#) // [CUSTOMER SERVICE](#) // [CONTACT US](#) // [PRIVACY POLICY](#) // [UNSUBSCRIBE](#)

This message was sent from Government Executive to: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) You have been sent GovExec Today because you have opted in to receive it. Note: It may take our system up to two business days to process your unsubscribe request and during that time you may receive one or two more newsletters. Thank you for reading GovExec Today.



Government Executive Media Group:

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)


From: GovExec PM update <news@e.govexec.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 3:24 PM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
Subject: Bill would give feds a 3.2% raise in 2018; DHS defends rushed response to Trump executive order



GovExec PM Update

January 31, 2017

Problems viewing? [View as a web page](#)



[Bill Would Give Federal Employees a 3.2 Percent Pay Raise in 2018](#) // Eric Katz

FAIR Act author says the boost is necessary due to the demonization of civil servants in recent years.

[New Homeland Security Team Defends Rushed Response to Trump Directives](#) // Charles S. Clark

Secretary Kelly denies reports he was surprised by White House border policy.

Brought to you by FireEye

FireEye 4th Annual Government Forum

Cybersecurity threats exist almost everywhere you look. To identify, assess, respond and mitigate threats as well as predict and prevent the “unknown threat,” agencies need to build intelligence-led security operations. Join the 4th annual FireEye Government Forum “Operationalizing Security for a New Era” on March 15 to collaborate and network with experts from federal, state, local and allied partner governments as well as industry partners on how to integrate intelligence-led security. [Secure your seat today.](#)

[OMB Nominee Needs to Understand Creating a 'High-Performing' Workforce Won't Be Easy](#) // Howard Risher

Rep. Mick Mulvaney wants to do a better job of rewarding top employees and holding poor performers accountable.

[An Acting Attorney General Fired for Defying Trump](#) // Matt Ford and Adam Serwer

Monday night began with a dramatic showdown between the Trump administration and the Department of Justice over the legality of Trump's executive order on immigration.

[Play of the Day: Donald Trump Loves 'Finding Dory'](#) // Ross Gianfortune

The president watched a movie about a family being separated over the weekend.

[Top Federal IT Contracts to Watch for in 2017](#) // Frank Konkel

This year will offer more contracting opportunities valued at far less than the number of contracts that went out to bid in 2016.

[Trump to Meet With U.S. Governors in February](#) // Dave Nyczepir

The president will host a dinner when the National Governors Association is in D.C. next month, and a private sit-down is also expected.

Brought to you by FireEye

FireEye 4th Annual Government Forum

Cybersecurity threats exist almost everywhere you look. To identify, assess, respond and mitigate threats as well as predict and prevent the “unknown threat,” agencies need to build intelligence-led security operations. Join the 4th annual FireEye Government Forum “Operationalizing Security for a New Era” on March 15 to collaborate and network with experts from federal, state, local and allied partner governments as well as industry partners on how to integrate intelligence-led security. [Secure your seat today.](#)

[GOVERNMENT EXECUTIVE](#) // [CUSTOMER SERVICE](#) // [CONTACT US](#) // [PRIVACY POLICY](#) // [UNSUBSCRIBE](#)

This message was sent from Government Executive to (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) you have been sent GovExec PM Update because you have opted in to receive it. Note: It may take our system up to two business days to process your unsubscribe request and during that time you may receive one or two more newsletters. Thank you for reading GovExec PM Update.



Government Executive Media Group, 600 New Hampshire Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20037


From: GovExec PM update (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, February 01, 2017 3:03 PM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
Subject: Supreme Court nominee could alter court's view of regulation; Immigration order doesn't affect citizenship applications



GovExec PM Update

February 1, 2017

Problems viewing? [View as a web page](#)



[SCOTUS Nominee Could Alter High Court's View of Regulation](#) // Charles S. Clark

Neil Gorsuch's difference with Scalia in the Chevron decision could boost Republican-backed deregulation.

[Trump's Immigration Order Doesn't Affect Citizenship Applications](#) // David Yanofsky

Nationals of Iran, Iraq, Sudan, Syria, Libya, Somalia, and Yemen can still become American citizens.

Brought to you by **KPMG**

[A-123 Aims to Strengthen Government with Enterprise Risk Management](#)

When the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) first released Circular A-123, its intent was to give government a framework for managing risk within agencies. Most organizations, however, tended to place focus on financial risk and A-123's specific controls addressing, for example, financial reporting. [Read more...](#)

[Play of the Day: Trump Missed a Chance to Use His Catchphrase](#) // Ross Gianfortune

Sally Yates never got to hear "you're fired!" from the president.

[NIST Seeks Input on Trusted Identities Guidance](#) // Joseph Marks

The draft guidance was previously edited for four months on GitHub.

[In the 'New Un-Normal' of Trump, Cities Will Continue to Be Beacons of Progress](#) //

Michael Grass

Long Beach Mayor Robert Garcia discusses how a new White House administration won't stop the momentum that's pushed his city and others like it forward.

Brought to you by KPMG

[A-123 Aims to Strengthen Government with Enterprise Risk Management](#)

When the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) first released Circular A-123, its intent was to give government a framework for managing risk within agencies. Most organizations, however, tended to place focus on financial risk and A-123's specific controls addressing, for example, financial reporting. [Read more...](#)

[GOVERNMENT EXECUTIVE](#) // [CUSTOMER SERVICE](#) // [CONTACT US](#) // [PRIVACY POLICY](#) // [UNSUBSCRIBE](#)

This message was sent from Government Executive to (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) You have been sent GovExec PM Update because you have opted in to receive it. Note: It may take our system up to two business days to process your unsubscribe request and during that time you may receive one or two more newsletters. Thank you for reading GovExec PM Update.



Government Executive Media Group, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Saturday, January 28, 2017 11:10 PM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
Subject: RE: Federal Court Stay - Hold all departures. Need confirmation

Thank you so much for your support and for your presence (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) has done a great job. I know he's had to field calls from (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and I all day; on top of everything else going.

From: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
Sent: Saturday, January 28, 2017 8:02:45 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Federal Court Stay - Hold all departures. Need confirmation

Thank you. I also called (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) directly. He's been a real trooper all day, especially for putting up with me at the airport half the day.

Brian J. Humphrey
Director, Field Operations
San Francisco/Portland

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Saturday, January 28, 2017 11:01:00 PM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
Subject: RE: Federal Court Stay - Hold all departures. Need confirmation

APD acknowledges.

From: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
Sent: Saturday, January 28, 2017 7:44:22 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C); (b)(6), (b)(7)(C); HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO); (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Federal Court Stay - Hold all departures. Need confirmation

To all Ports: We are to suspend departures of individuals targeted by the Executive Order who were subject to ER or withdrawal of application. All other actions of targeted arriving aliens remain in place, including continued detention of those previously set for departure via removal or withdrawal.

Area PDs please acknowledge

Brian J. Humphrey
Director, Field Operations
San Francisco/Portland

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: Owen, Todd C (AC OFO)
Sent: Saturday, January 28, 2017 10:34:00 PM
To: DIRECTORS FIELD OPS
Subject: Federal Court Stay - Hold all departures. Need confirmation

DFOs,

Based on this evening's federal court stay, we are to **suspend all departures** of those found inadmissible under the Executive Order, including those who wished to voluntarily depart. We should freeze all departures but continue to detain the individuals in the airports while we await further legal guidance. All pre-departure actions will remain underway.

Need confirmation from each DFO.

*Todd C. Owen
Executive Assistant Commissioner
Office of Field Operations
U.S. Customs & Border Protection*

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Sunday, January 29, 2017 1:47 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
Subject: People being held due to EO

No updates since the last report.

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Sunday, January 29, 2017 2:53 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO); (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: Re: detention in violation of the stay order
Attachments: image1.JPG; image2.JPG; image3.JPG; image4.JPG; image5.JPG; image6.JPG; image7.JPG; image8.JPG

Dear FOD Humphrey and Chief (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

I am writing to follow up on my colleague (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) email regarding the detention of two individuals at SFO, and to reiterate that their removal would both violate the stay issued by the Eastern District and the underlying statutory and constitutional protections on which the stay is based.

I just spoke to CBP Supervisors (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) at SFO and attempted to give them G 28s for these individuals, but they refused to accept them or tell me where to file them. They directed me to the CBP public affairs website and I am CCing the Headquarters Branch Chief as well. I attach my g 28.

We urgently request information about the status of these clients and reiterate the unlawful nature of their removal.

My cell phone is: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)





(b)(6)

Senior Attorney
Deportation Defense and Legal Advocacy Program
Dolores Street Community Services
[938 Valencia St., San Francisco, CA 94110](http://www.doloresstreet.org)

T: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) | F: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

E-mail: (b)(6)

Please Note: The information in this email message may be legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you should not further disseminate, distribute or forward this email message. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender and promptly delete the original message. Thank you.

On Jan 28, 2017, at 11:09 PM, (b)(6), (b)(7)(E) wrote:

Dear FOD Humphrey,

The two individuals below are detained at SFO. They had B-2 visas and were denied entry this morning. They are Iranian citizens. I believe they are awaiting a departing flight but that the denial of entry was in violation of the subsequently issued stay by the Eastern District of New York.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

They arrived on flight EY 183.

I have G-28s for them.

My cell is (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Thanks,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

--

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Staff Attorney, Immigrant Rights Program
Asian Law Caucus
55 Columbus Avenue
San Francisco, California 94111

Telephone: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Fax: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)



Notice of Entry of Appearance
as Attorney or Accredited Representative

Department of Homeland Security

DHS
Form G-28
OMB No. 1615-0105
Expires 03/31/2018

Part 1. Information About Attorney or Accredited Representative

1. USCIS ELIS Account Number (if any)
▶

Name and Address of Attorney or Accredited Representative

Part 2. Notice of Appearance as Attorney or Accredited Representative

This appearance relates to immigration matters before
(Select only one box):

1.a. USCIS

1.b. List the form numbers

(b)(5), (b)(6)

Part 2. Notice of Appearance as Attorney or Accredited Representative (continued)

Information About Applicant, Petitioner, Requestor, or Respondent (continued)

- 7. USCIS ELIS Account Number (if any)
- 8. Alien Registration Number (A-Number) or Receipt Number
- 9. Daytime Telephone Number
- 10. Mobile Telephone Number (if any)
- 11. E-Mail Address (if any)

Mailing Address of Applicant, Petitioner, Requestor, or Respondent

NOTE: Provide the mailing address of the applicant, petitioner, requestor, or respondent. **Do not** provide the business mailing address of the attorney or accredited representative **unless** it serves as the safe mailing address on the application, petition, or request being filed with this Form G-28.

- 12.a. Street Number and Name
- 12.b. Apt. Ste. Flr.
- 12.c. City or Town
- 12.d. State 12.e. ZIP Code
- 12.f. Province
- 12.g. Postal Code
- 12.h. Country

Part 3. Eligibility Information for Attorney or Accredited Representative

Select **all applicable** items.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

subject to any order of any court or administrative agency disbarring, suspending, enjoining, restraining, or otherwise restricting me in the practice of law. If you are subject to any orders, explain in the space below. (If you need additional space, use Part 6.)

- 2.a. I am an accredited representative of the following qualified nonprofit religious, charitable, social service, or similar organization established in the United States, so recognized by the Department of Justice, Board of Immigration Appeals, in accordance with 8 CFR 292.2. Provide the name of the organization and the expiration date of accreditation.
- 2.b. Name of Recognized Organization
- 2.c. Date accreditation expires (mm/dd/yyyy)



Part 3. Eligibility Information for Attorney or Accredited Representative (continued)

3. I am associated with _____, the attorney or accredited representative of record who previously filed Form G-28 in this case, and my appearance as an attorney or accredited representative is at his or her request.

NOTE: If you select this item, also complete **Item Numbers 1.a. - 1.b. or Item Numbers 2.a. - 2.c. in Part 3.** (whichever is appropriate).

4.a. I am a law student or law graduate working under the direct supervision of the attorney or accredited representative of record on this form in accordance with the requirements in 8 CFR 292.1(a)(2)(iv).

4.b. Name of Law Student or Law Graduate _____

Part 4. Applicant, Petitioner, Requestor, or Respondent Consent to Representation, Contact Information, and Signature

Consent to Representation and Release of Information

1. I have requested the representation of and consented to being represented by the attorney or accredited representative named in **Part 1.** of this form. According to the Privacy Act of 1974 and DHS policy, I also consent to the disclosure to the named attorney or accredited representative of any record pertaining to me that appears in any system of records of USCIS, ICE or CBP.

When you (the applicant, petitioner, requestor, or respondent) are represented, DHS will send notices to both you and your attorney or accredited representative either through mail or electronic delivery.

DHS will also send the Form I-94, Arrival Departure Record, to you **unless** you select **Item Number 2.a.** in **Part 4.** All secure identity documents and Travel Documents will be sent to you (the applicant, petitioner, requestor, or respondent) at your U.S. mailing address **unless** you ask us to send your secure identity documents to your attorney of record or accredited representative.

If you do not want to receive original notices or secure identity documents directly, but would rather have such notices and documents sent to your attorney of record or accredited representative, please select **all applicable** boxes below:

2.a. I request DHS send any notice (including Form I-94) on an application, petition, or request to the U.S. business address of my attorney of record or accredited representative as listed in this form. I understand that I may change this election at any future date through written notice to DHS.

2.b. I request that DHS send any secure identity document, such as a Permanent Resident Card, Employment Authorization Document, or Travel Document, that I am approved to receive and authorized to possess, to the U.S. business address of my attorney of record or accredited representative as listed in this form or to a designated military or diplomatic address for pickup in a foreign country (if permitted). I consent to having my secure identity document sent to my attorney of record or accredited representative's U.S. business address and understand that I may request, at any future date and through written notice to DHS, that DHS send any secure identity document to me directly.

3.a. Signature of Applicant, Petitioner, Requestor, or Respondent

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Part 5. Signature of Attorney or Accredited Representative

I have read and understand the regulations and conditions contained in 8 CFR 103.2 and 292 governing appearances and representation before the Department of Homeland Security. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the information I have provided on this form is true and correct.

1. Signature of Attorney or Accredited Representative
2. **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**
3.



Part 2. Notice of Appearance as Attorney or Accredited Representative (continued)

Information About Applicant, Petitioner, Requestor, or Respondent (continued)

- 7. USCIS ELIS Account Number (if any)
- 8. Alien Registration Number (A-Number) or Receipt Number
- 9. Daytime Telephone Number
- 10. Mobile Telephone Number (if any)
- 11. E-Mail Address (if any)

Mailing Address of Applicant, Petitioner, Requestor, or Respondent

NOTE: Provide the mailing address of the applicant, petitioner, requestor, or respondent. **Do not** provide the business mailing address of the attorney or accredited representative **unless** it serves as the safe mailing address on the application, petition, or request being filed with this Form G-28.

- 12.a. Street Number and Name
- 12.b. Apt. Ste. Flr.
- 12.c. City or Town
- 12.d. State 12.e. ZIP Code
- 12.f. Province
- 12.g. Postal Code
- 12.h. Country


Part 3. Eligibility Information for Attorney or Accredited Representative

Select **all** applicable items.

- 1.a. **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**
- 1.b.
- 1.c.
- 1.d.

subject to any order of any court or administrative agency disbaring, suspending, enjoining, restraining, or otherwise restricting me in the practice of law. If you are subject to any orders, explain in the space below. (If you need additional space, use Part 6.)

- 2.a. I am an accredited representative of the following qualified nonprofit religious, charitable, social service, or similar organization established in the United States, so recognized by the Department of Justice, Board of Immigration Appeals, in accordance with 8 CFR 292.2. Provide the name of the organization and the expiration date of accreditation.
- 2.b. Name of Recognized Organization
- 2.c. Date accreditation expires (mm/dd/yyyy)



Part 3. Eligibility Information for Attorney or Accredited Representative (continued)

3. I am associated with _____, the attorney or accredited representative of record who previously filed Form G-28 in this case, and my appearance as an attorney or accredited representative is at his or her request.

NOTE: If you select this item, also complete **Item Numbers 1.a. - 1.b. or Item Numbers 2.a. - 2.c. in Part 3.** (whichever is appropriate).

4.a. I am a law student or law graduate working under the direct supervision of the attorney or accredited representative of record on this form in accordance with the requirements in 8 CFR 292.1(a)(2)(iv).

4.b. Name of Law Student or Law Graduate

Part 4. Applicant, Petitioner, Requestor, or Respondent Consent to Representation, Contact Information, and Signature

Consent to Representation and Release of Information

1. I have requested the representation of and consented to being represented by the attorney or accredited representative named in **Part 1.** of this form. According to the Privacy Act of 1974 and DHS policy, I also consent to the disclosure to the named attorney or accredited representative of any record pertaining to me that appears in any system of records of USCIS, ICE or CBP.

When you (the applicant, petitioner, requestor, or respondent) are represented, DHS will send notices to both you and your attorney or accredited representative either through mail or electronic delivery.

DHS will also send the Form I-94, Arrival Departure Record, to you **unless** you select **Item Number 2.a.** in **Part 4.** All secure identity documents and Travel Documents will be sent to you (the applicant, petitioner, requestor, or respondent) at your U.S. mailing address **unless** you ask us to send your secure identity documents to your attorney of record or accredited representative.

If you do not want to receive original notices or secure identity documents directly, but would rather have such notices and documents sent to your attorney of record or accredited representative, please select **all applicable** boxes below:

2.a. I request DHS send any notice (including Form I-94) on an application, petition, or request to the U.S. business address of my attorney of record or accredited representative as listed in this form. I understand that I may change this election at any future date through written notice to DHS.

2.b. I request that DHS send any secure identity document, such as a Permanent Resident Card, Employment Authorization Document, or Travel Document, that I am approved to receive and authorized to possess, to the U.S. business address of my attorney of record or accredited representative as listed in this form or to a designated military or diplomatic address for pickup in a foreign country (if permitted). I consent to having my secure identity document sent to my attorney of record or accredited representative's U.S. business address and understand that I may request, at any future date and through written notice to DHS, that DHS send any secure identity document to me directly.

3.a. Signature of Applicant, Petitioner, Requestor, or Respondent

→ (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

3.b. Date of Signature (mm/dd/yyyy) ▶ 01/28/2017


Part 5. Signature of Attorney or Accredited Representative

I have read and understand the regulations and conditions contained in 8 CFR 103.2 and 292 governing appearances and representation before the Department of Homeland Security. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the information I have provided on this form is true and correct.

1. Signature of Attorney or Accredited Representative

2. (b)(5), (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

3.



From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 4:16 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
Subject: RE: Executive Order Update on Seaport Operations and Consolidated Guidance

(b)(5)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Program Manager; Public Affairs Liaison
Customs and Border Protection
San Francisco Field Office
Tel: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 1:01 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Executive Order Update on Seaport Operations and Consolidated Guidance

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 12:56:18 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
Subject: RE: Executive Order Update on Seaport Operations and Consolidated Guidance

(b)(5)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Program Manager; Public Affairs Liaison
Customs and Border Protection
San Francisco Field Office
Tel: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 12:46 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Executive Order Update on Seaport Operations and Consolidated Guidance

(b)(5)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Program Manager, Public Affairs Liaison
Customs and Border Protection
San Francisco Field Office
Tel: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 12:28 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Executive Order Update on Seaport Operations and Consolidated Guidance

(b)(5)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Assistant Chief Counsel, San Francisco
Desk: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Mobile: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 11:52 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Executive Order Update on Seaport Operations and Consolidated Guidance

Until I receive verification, please DO NOT give my name and number out as a POC for ACLU. HQ is working on a solution.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Program Manager, Public Affairs Liaison
Customs and Border Protection
San Francisco Field Office
Tel: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 11:44 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Executive Order Update on Seaport Operations and Consolidated Guidance

Copy that, I just wanted to verify. I am seeking additional guidance from HQ.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Program Manager, Public Affairs Liaison

Customs and Border Protection
San Francisco Field Office
Tel: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 11:42 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C); HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Executive Order Update on Seaport Operations and Consolidated Guidance

(b)(5)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Assistant Chief Counsel, San Francisco
Desk: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Mobile: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 11:35 AM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO); (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Executive Order Update on Seaport Operations and Consolidated Guidance

A question:

(b)(5)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Program Manager; Public Affairs Liaison
Customs and Border Protection
San Francisco Field Office
Tel: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 11:28 AM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO); (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Executive Order Update on Seaport Operations and Consolidated Guidance

I will check in with HQ and send you an update as soon as possible.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Program Manager; Public Affairs Liaison
Customs and Border Protection
San Francisco Field Office
Tel: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 11:25 AM

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Executive Order Update on Seaport Operations and Consolidated Guidance

Now that S1 and C1 have spoken to the public via press conference, let me see if local interaction is now authorized.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Can you please check on the latest guidance?

Brian J. Humphrey
Director, Field Operations
San Francisco and Portland Field Offices
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
San Francisco, California 94105
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 9:23 AM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO); (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Executive Order Update on Seaport Operations and Consolidated Guidance

Good morning Mr. Humphrey,

(b)(5)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Assistant Chief Counsel, San Francisco
Desk: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Mobile: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 9:01 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) HUMPHREY, BRIAN J
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: FW: Executive Order Update on Seaport Operations and Consolidated Guidance
Importance: High

Good morning: Below and attached please find a message consolidating previous guidance, plus a summary of waiver authorities. From my read, the most significant pending change to existing policy is the request to S1 to delegate authority to DFOs for waivers currently requiring C1 approval. I'll keep you posted if that delegated authority is received today as anticipated.

Thanks for your attention, patience and perseverance.

Brian J. Humphrey
Director, Field Operations
San Francisco and Portland Field Offices
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
San Francisco, California 94105
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: HOFFMAN, TODD A
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 4:17 AM
To: DIRECTORS FIELD OPS (b)(7)(E)
Cc: HUTTON, JAMES R (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Owen, Todd C (AC OFO) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) WAGNER, JOHN P
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS HQ (b)(7)(E)

Subject: FW: Executive Order Update on Seaport Operations and Consolidated Guidance

Directors,

Here is a quick summary regarding the Executive Order:

- You have waiver authority for:
 - LPRs- still require an individualized waiver for each entry.
 - First time refugees.
 - Remaining in-scope categories – C1 approval required. Delegation request to DFOs is currently with the S1. We hope to have today.
- (b)(7)(E) are doing a great job to identify in-scope travelers and deny boarding. (b)(5)
- (b)(5) We continue to push at all levels.
- Issued WD guidance based on court order.
- Crewman that are now lacking a valid visa and are determined to pose no additional concerns to the security of the United States may be detained on board the vessel, with an approved security plan, for the duration of the vessel's U.S. voyage. Crewman that pose a concern to the security of the United States should be ordered removed under safeguard pursuant to standard operating procedures. Removal requires OCC review and concurrence to ensure Court order compliance.
- C1, C2, EAC Owen, etc., continue to work through a prioritized list of Congressional calls.
- We're working with Department of State to align our EO policies and protocols with additional changes expected soon.

- We'll have FAQs posted via CBP website and a public inquiry call center established later today.

For convenience, I have also attached the previously issued guidance to Field Offices since the Executive Order:

1/30 email: court compliance on WD

1/30 email: Delegation of Authority Documentation for 842 Refugee Arrivals

1/30 email: Arrival of Central American Minors (CAM) Parolees – Jan 31 arrival

1/28 email: Waiver Routing [REDACTED] (b)(7)(E) added to routing on 1/29.

1/28: Executive Order Guidance to the Field – Signed Memo

I appreciate your efforts during this implementation. Please let me know if you have any questions. Also, the Crisis Action Team is staffed 24/7 and can be reached at [REDACTED] (b)(7)(E) or [REDACTED] (b)(7)(E) [REDACTED] (b)(7)(E)

Also, please let me know if you have any reportable issues for the 0900 senior leadership meeting.

Todd A. Hoffman
 Executive Director, Admissibility and Passenger Programs
 Office of Field Operations
 U.S. Customs and Border Protection

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, February 01, 2017 7:25 PM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO); (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Recent EO Orders
Attachments: HOMAMMED v US_TRO Granted_2-17-cv-00786-AB-PLA.PDF

(b)(5)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Assistant Chief Counsel, San Francisco
Desk: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Mobile: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 8:31 PM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO); (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: Recent EO Orders

(b)(5)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Assistant Chief Counsel, San Francisco
U.S. Customs and Border Protection
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
San Francisco, CA 94111
Office: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Fax: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Mobile: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BADR DHAIFALLAH AHMED
MOHAMMED;
YOUSEF BADR DHAIFALLAH
AHMED MOHAMED;
MAHA ABDULHAMEED
MOHAMMED ALMAWRI;
MURAD KHALED ALI;
WALEED MUSAED QASEM
MOHAMMED;
MAGED WALEED MUSAED
QASEM;
ANWAR SALEH NAGI;
RIFAQ ANWAR SALEH NAGI
ALEAZZALI;
KHALED ANWAR NAGI
ALEAZZALI;
ASHAWQ MOHAMMED AYEDH
AHMED;
SABA ALI ALI SAAED;
YOUSEF AHMED MOHAMED
SAAD;
NAWAR AHMED MOHAMED
SAAD;
IBRAHIM AHMED MOHAMED
SAAD;
MOHAMED AHMED MOHAMED
SAAD;
ABDULATEF ABDO MUTHANNA
HAILAN;
DIYAZAN ALI SAEED;
SAHAR SALEM AHMED;
NASLAH H A SAEED;
ALI MOHSEN SAEED;
SAIF DIYAZAN ALI MOHSEN;
SARAH FADEL MUTHANA SAIF;

Case No. CV 17-00786 AB (PLAx)

**ORDER GRANTING EMERGENCY
MOTION FOR TEMPORARY
RESTRAINING ORDER AND/OR
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

1 OMAR ALI MOHSEN MURSHED;
2 BASSAM ALI MOHSEN MURSHED;
3 NADHRA SALEH ALZEER;
4 MUHRAH MOHSEN SALEH
5 MOQBEL SALEH;
6 QASEM ABDULRAHMAN SALEM
7 AL-HASANI;
8 MUNA O AL SAKKAF,

9
10 Plaintiffs,

11 v.

12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA;
13 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT
14 OF HOMELAND SECURITY;
15 UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP
16 AND IMMIGRATION SERVICES;
17 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT
18 OF STATE;
19 UNITED STATES CUSTOMS AND
20 BORDER PATROL;
21 DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official
22 capacity as President of the United
23 States of America;
24 DANA J. BOENTE, in his official
25 capacity as the Acting Attorney
26 General of the United States;
27 JOHN KELLY, Secretary of the
28 Department of Homeland Security;
LORI SCIALABBA, Acting Director
of U.S. Citizenship and Immigration
Services; KEVIN K. McALEENAN, in
his official capacity as Acting
Commissioner of U.S. Customs and
Border Patrol,

Defendants.

24 Before the Court is Plaintiffs' Motion for Temporary Restraining Order And/Or
25 Preliminary Injunctive Relief. (Dkt. No. 3.) Upon consideration of the Complaint (Dkt.
26 No. 1), the Motion, and the supporting declarations (Dkt. Nos. 4, 5), for Good Cause
27 Shown, the Court hereby **GRANTS** the Motion.

DISCUSSION

1
2 A temporary restraining order (“TRO”) is “an extraordinary remedy that may only
3 be awarded upon a clear showing that the plaintiff is entitled to such relief.” *Winter v.*
4 *Nat. Res. Def. Council*, 555 U.S. 7, 22 (2008). The purpose of a TRO is to preserve the
5 status quo before a preliminary injunction hearing may be held. *Granny Goose Foods,*
6 *Inc. v. Bhd. of Teamsters & Auto Truck Drivers Local No. 70 of Alameda City.*, 415 U.S.
7 423, 439 (1974). Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65 governs the issuance of TROs and
8 preliminary injunctions, and courts apply the same standard to both. *Frontline Med.*
9 *Assocs., Inc. v. Coventry Healthcare Workers Comp., Inc.*, 620 F. Supp. 2d 1109, 1110
10 (C.D. Cal. 2009).

11 A party seeking preliminary injunctive relief must satisfy one of two tests. Under
12 one test, the party must establish that he is (1) likely to succeed on the merits of his
13 claims, (2) that he is likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief,
14 (3) that the balance of equities tips in his favor, and (4) that an injunction is in the public
15 interest. *Am. Trucking Ass’n, Inc. v. City of Los Angeles*, 559 F.3d 1046, 1052 (9th Cir.
16 2009).

17 Under the alternative test, a party must show “‘serious questions going to the
18 merits’ [,] a balance of hardships that tips sharply toward the plaintiff,” a likelihood of
19 irreparable harm, and that the injunction is in the public interest. *Alliance for the Wild*
20 *Rockies v. Cottrell*, 632 F.3d 1127, 1132 (9th Cir. 2011). A “serious question” is one on
21 which the movant “has a fair chance of success on the merits.” *Sierra On-Line, Inc. v.*
22 *Phoenix Software, Inc.*, 739 F.2d 1415, 1421 (9th Cir. 1984).

23 The Court finds that Plaintiffs have satisfied these standards and that a TRO
24 should issue. Plaintiffs have satisfied the first test because they have shown that they are
25 likely to succeed on the merits of claims that would entitle them to relief; Plaintiffs are
26 likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief; the balance of
27 equities favors Plaintiffs; and an injunction is in the public interest. Plaintiffs have also
28 satisfied the “alternative” test: they have established at least a serious question going to

1 the merits of their claims; that the balance of hardships tips decisively in their favor; and,
2 as noted as to the first test, a likelihood of irreparable harm and that an injunction is in
3 the public interest.

4
5 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

- 6 1. Defendants and their officers, agents, employees, attorneys, and all persons acting in
7 concert or participating with them, are **ENJOINED AND RESTRAINED** from
8 enforcing Defendant President Donald J. Trump's January 27, 2017 Executive Order
9 by removing, detaining, or blocking the entry of Plaintiffs, or any other person from
10 Iran, Iraq, Libya, Somalia, Sudan, Syria and Yemen with a valid immigrant visa;
11 2. Defendants, and Defendant United States Department of State in particular, are
12 hereby **ENJOINED AND RESTRAINED** from cancelling validly obtained and
13 issued immigrant visas of Plaintiffs;
14 3. Defendants, and Defendant United States Department of State in particular, are
15 hereby **ORDERED** to return to Plaintiffs their passports containing validly issued
16 immigrant visas so that Plaintiffs may travel to the United States on said visas; and
17 4. Defendants are hereby **ORDERED** to **IMMEDIATELY** inform all relevant airport,
18 airline, and other authorities at Los Angeles International Airport and International
19 Airport in Djibouti that Plaintiffs are permitted to travel to the United States on their
20 valid immigrant visas.

21
22 Unless otherwise agreed upon by the parties:

- 23 • Plaintiffs shall file any **supplemental brief** in support of their motion for
24 preliminary injunction **by February 2, 2017**.
25 • Defendants shall file their **opposition by February 5, 2017**.
26 • Plaintiffs shall file their **reply by February 8, 2017**.
27 • Defendants shall appear on **February 10, 2017 at 10:00 a.m.** to show cause why
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

the preliminary injunctive relief sought in the Ex Parte Application for Temporary Restraining Order And/Or Preliminary Injunction should not be granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 31, 2017



HONORABLE ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2017 3:01 PM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Executive Order Delegation of 3(g) and 5(e) Authority

OK, please call me on my personal cell (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) if you have not heard, I'm teleworking with a torn right Achilles tendon.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Assistant Chief Counsel, San Francisco
Desk: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Mobile: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2017 11:58 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Executive Order Delegation of 3(g) and 5(e) Authority

I will call you. I also have a message to return to USA Stretch

Brian J. Humphrey
Director, Field Operations
San Francisco/Portland

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2017 1:33:18 PM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: Executive Order Delegation of 3(g) and 5(e) Authority

Good morning DFO Humphrey,

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Assistant Chief Counsel, San Francisco
U.S. Customs and Border Protection

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Fax: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Mobile: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2017 3:05 PM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Executive Order Delegation of 3(g) and 5(e) Authority
Attachments: (b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Assistant Chief Counsel, San Francisco
Desk: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Mobile: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2017 10:33 AM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: Executive Order Delegation of 3(g) and 5(e) Authority

Good morning DFO Humphrey,

(b)(5)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Assistant Chief Counsel, San Francisco
U.S. Customs and Border Protection

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Fax: **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

Mobile: **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2017 6:50 PM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: RE: Litigation Hold/Preservation Notice; Executive Order: Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry into the United States; January 27, 2017
Attachments: Litigation Hold EO Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry into the US Jan 27 2017.pdf

Good afternoon DFO Humphrey,

(b)(5)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 Assistant Chief Counsel, San Francisco
 Desk: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 Mobile: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2017 2:12 PM
To: FLANAGAN, PATRICK S (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) OCC
 CBP Chicago (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) OCC CBP Houston (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) OCC CBP HQ
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) OCC CBP ITL (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) OCC CBP LA (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) OCC
 CBP Managers (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) OCC CBP Miami (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) OCC CBP NFC
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) OCC CBP NY (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) OCC CBP TUCSON
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Owen, Todd C (AC OFO)
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) WAGNER, JOHN P (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) SMITH, BRENDA BROCKMAN (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) LOWRY, KIM M
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) FRIEL, MICHAEL J
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) SITUATION ROOM (b)(7)(E) CBP CHIEF OF STAFFS
 (b)(7)(E)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) CBP-OCC-PARALEGALS-MAILBOX (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Litigation Hold/Preservation Notice; Executive Order: Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry into the United States; January 27, 2017

Good afternoon,

(b)(5)

If you have any questions, please contact **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** at **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** or by e-mail at **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Paralegal Specialist
Office of the Chief Counsel
U.S. Customs and Border Protection

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (Desk)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (Fax)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)



From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2017 4:24 PM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO); (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Request for CBP to issue Transportation/Boarding Letter to (b)(7)(C) from (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(5)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Assistant Chief Counsel, San Francisco

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2017 1:21 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Request for CBP to issue Transportation/Boarding Letter to (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) from (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(5)

Brian J. Humphrey
Director, Field Operations
San Francisco and Portland Field Offices
33 New Montgomery Street, Suite 1620
San Francisco, California 94105
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, February 01, 2017 3:57 PM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO); (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Request for CBP to issue Transportation/Boarding Letter to (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) from (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Here's another one. I've not seen the injunction issued out of the Central District.

Thanks

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Supervisory Program Manager
Admissibility/Immigration Policy
Department of Homeland Security
Customs and Border Protection
Office of Field Operations - San Francisco
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)



WARNING: This document may be designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (FOUO), and may contain information that is LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE (LES) and may contain information that is exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED information and is not to be released to the public or personnel who do not have a valid need to know without prior approval from the originator. This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by federal and state law governing electronic communications and may contain confidential and legally privileged information. Further transmission of LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE information is limited by The Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552(a)) and Trade Secrets Act (18 U.S.C. 1905), in accordance with the Third Agency Rule. If the reader for this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use, or copying of this message or portions thereof is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete all copies from your system.

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, February 01, 2017 3:41 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: Request for CBP to issue Transportation/Boarding Letter to (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) from (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Dear (b)(6), (b)(7)(C):

Thank you for speaking with me today.

As I explained, I understand that CBP has the authority to issue Transportation/Boarding letters to individuals who are abroad. <http://photos.state.gov/libraries/shanghai/228904/ACS/TLApplicationFormat.pdf>

Section 3(g) of the Executive Order provides that the ban on travel may be lifted on a case-by-case basis “when in the national interest” to do so. CBP guidance issued yesterday also reiterates that there are case-by-case exceptions available. <https://www.cbp.gov/border-security/protecting-nation-foreign-terrorist-entry-united-states>

The Q&A says:

What do the two exemptions in the Executive Order mean? How are they applied to individual cases? DHS and State can review individual cases and grant waivers on a case-by-case basis if that individual’s admission to the United States is deemed to be in the national interest and if they do not pose a national security threat.

As I explained briefly on the phone, I represent (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) in all immigration matters, who is a

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

We are seeking a Transportation/Boarding letter so that she may be immediately allowed to travel to and enter the United States on her approved immigrant visa because her admission for purposes of family reunification is in the national interest of our country. The U.S. Department of State, after years of national security vetting, has already determined that (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) poses no danger to our nation. Furthermore, it is in our nation’s interest to reunite her with her (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and promote family unification, a core value of our immigration laws.

Furthermore, the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California order that was signed on January 31, 2017 (and is attached), applies to my client (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). It orders that Defendants, inform all relevant airport, airline,

and other authorities that Plaintiffs (and other persons from (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) with valid immigrant visas) are permitted to travel to the United States on their valid immigrant visas. Given this Court Order, I believe CBP could also issue a Transportation/Boarding letter to her.

Thank you for considering my request for (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) to be issued a Transportation/Boarding letter so that she may be immediately allowed to travel to and enter the United States on her approved immigrant visa. Please let me know if you would like us to fill out the form here:

<http://photos.state.gov/libraries/shanghai/228904/ACS/TLApplicationFormat.pdf>

If you need further information, please do not hesitate to email me at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) or call me at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Client information:

Case Number (b)(7)(E)
I-130 Receipt Number (b)(7)(E)
A-number (b)(7)(E)
Visa Applicant (b)(7)(E)
Petitioner (b)(7)(E)

Thank you,
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Van Der Hout, Brigagliano & Nightingale, LLP
180 Sutter Street, Fifth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
www.vblaw.com

This email may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review or distribution by others is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact me and delete all copies. Thank you.

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2017 1:33 PM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: Executive Order Delegation of 3(g) and 5(e) Authority
Attachments: Executive Order Redelelegation From Commissioner to OFO SES Draft 2-1-17.docx; Executive Order 2017 Foreign Terrorist Entry -- Highlighted.docx; State 5(e) Waiver For 872 Refugees.pdf; White House Counsel Guidance Memo 2-1-17.docx

Good morning DFO Humphrey,

(b)(5)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 Assistant Chief Counsel, San Francisco
 U.S. Customs and Border Protection

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Fax: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 Mobile: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION
DELEGATION ORDER**

ORIGINATING OFFICE: OC

DISTRIBUTION:

ORDER NUMBER:

ISSUE DATE: February 1, 2017

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 1, 2017

SUBJECT: Protecting the Nation From Foreign Terrorist Entry Into the United States

DELEGATED BY

Commissioner

DELEGATED TO

Deputy Commissioner
Executive Assistant Commissioner, Field Operations
Deputy Executive Assistant Commissioner, Field Operations
Executive Director Operations, Field Operations
Executive Director, (b)(7)(E)
Directors, Field Operations
Port Director, John F. Kennedy Airport
Port Director, Los Angeles International Airport

SOURCE OF AUTHORITY BEING DELEGATED

Homeland Security Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-296, 116 Stat. 2135 (2002), as amended (6 U.S.C. § 112); Title 8, U.S.C. Section 1103, “Powers and duties of the Secretary, the Under Secretary, and the Attorney General” [Immigration and Nationality Act, § 103(a)(1)]; Title 8, U.S.C. Section 1357, “Powers of immigration officers and employees” [Immigration and Nationality Act, § 287]; The President’s Executive Order entitled, “Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry into the United States,” (January 27, 2017); Title 8, U.S.C. Section 1182, “Inadmissible aliens” [Immigration and Nationality Act, § 212]; Delegations from the Secretary to the Commissioner effective that pursuant to the referenced Executive Order (January 28-29, 2017); Memorandum from Counsel to the President to the Acting Secretary of State, the Acting Attorney General, and the Secretary of Homeland Security, entitled “Authoritative Guidance on Executive Order Entitled “Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry into the United States (Jan. 27, 2017)” (February 1, 2017).

DELEGATION

Authority under Section 3(g) of the Executive Order to issue a visa or other immigration benefits to nationals of countries for which visas and benefits are otherwise blocked under Section 3 of the Executive Order, on a case-by-case basis, and when in the national interest of the United States. Prior to taking any such action, the individual who is the subject of the action must be

subjected to a thorough examination by an immigration officer. Pursuant to clarifying and authoritative guidance received from Counsel to the President on February 1, 2017, Sections 3(c) and 3(e) of the Executive Order do not apply to lawful permanent residents of the United States.

Authority under Section 5(e) of the Executive Order to admit individuals to the United States as first-time refugees on a case-by-case basis, but only if the Commissioner (or appropriate designee pursuant to this delegation) and the Secretary of State, or his designee, jointly determine that the admission of such individuals as refugees is in the national interest and would not pose a risk to the security or welfare of the United States. Prior to any such admission as a first-time refugee, each such individual must be subjected to a thorough examination by an immigration officer, to include CBP conduct of: comprehensive biographic checks to include airline reservation data, airline manifest information, against the Terrorist Screening Database, the National Crime Information Center database, and CBP holdings; biometric checks, including 10-print checks against IDENT and the biometric watchlist; and secondary examination and interview of the traveler focused on potential national security risks using (b)(7)(E)

(b)(7)(E)

This authority may not be further delegated.

Commissioner

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 5:21 PM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO); (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Dear Brian,

Many thanks. I'm glad that you are in contact with her attorney, and we really appreciate your response.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Attorney
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Immigration Lawyers
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

This email is for the intended recipient only and may contain confidential or privileged information. Unauthorized use or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message.

From: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO); (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: January 31, 2017 2:13 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Thank you. I spoke with her attorney approximately 1 hour ago. (b)(5), (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)

(b)(5), (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)

Brian J. Humphrey
Director, Field Operations
San Francisco and Portland Field Offices
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
San Francisco, California 94105
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 1:21 PM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO); (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Dear Brian and (b)(6), (b)(7)(C),

I hope you are well. You may have already heard from various Congressional offices about the imminent arrival at SFO of a U.S. Lawful Permanent Resident, **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** (I apologize if we're duplicating information you already have.)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

You may have heard about this from other sources, so I apologize if we're duplicating information. However, **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** we thought you might like to have advance notice of her arrival.

Best,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) | Attorney

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Immigration Lawyers

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)


This email is for the intended recipient only and may contain confidential or privileged information. Unauthorized use or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message.

From: CAIR-Chicago TV <communications@cairchicago.org>
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 9:17 PM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
Subject: We Will Not Back Down.


Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#)



We will not back down.



Since Trump' Executive Ban Order, CAIR-Chicago has taken a three pronged response. Legal, Media, and Legislative. Here we share with you a selection of our media work on the last few days to raise awareness and push back in the public sphere.



ABC 7. After the protests, and at the volunteer attorney bank, where pro bono lawyers are assisting travelers. CAIR-Chicago helped bring public officials to deliver a message to Customs and Border Patrol to TV cameras.



This Syrian young girl's tears may not mean much to Trump and his team. But they mean a lot to us and our community. The ban is not just a legal debate. It is a human story wherein many REAL people are treated like third class residents subjected to separation, pain, and humiliation. Putting a human face on the debate is an important angle of CAIR-Chicago's consistent media outreach.



Ahmed Rehab and attorney Kalman Resnick debated two Trump ban supporters on PBS's "Chicago Tonight." Ahmed explained that Muslims are just as much risk under ISIS and Assad, if not more, debunking the "minority vs majority" defense of the ban. He also explained the difference between data based security and religion-based scrutiny, the latter being neither safe nor just - and most of all non-constitutional. CAIR filed a lawsuit challenging Trump's ban.



At the WGN studio, Ahmed explained that the Executive Order IS a Muslim ban, and pointed to Rudy Giuliani's admission.



On ABC, Ahmed pointed out the reality on the ground, after six Muslims were killed while in prayer in Quebec, Canada, by a Trump supporter.

DONATE NOW

We need your support now more than ever. We need to hire more legal assistance and increase our capacity to deal with the increasing demand. Please use the button above to support us with your zakat-eligible, tax-deductible donation. Thank you!

Donations are Zakat-eligible and tax-deductible!

To support us, click here or call 312-212-1520

WEBSITE

GET HELP

DONATE

Stay in the know! Follow CAIR-Chicago via social media!





DEFENDING CIVIL RIGHTS. FIGHTING BIGOTRY.


© 2017 CAIR-Chicago

CAIR-Chicago, 17 N. State Street, Suite 1500, Chicago, IL 60602

SafeUnsubscribe™ **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

[Forward this email](#) | [Update Profile](#) | [About our service provider](#)

Sent by communications@cairchicago.org in collaboration with



Try it free today

From: CAIR-Chicago eNewsletter <e-newsletter@cairchicago.org>
Sent: Friday, February 03, 2017 8:35 PM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO)
Subject: A lot is happening...check it out!

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#)




February 3rd, 2017

WEBSITE

GET HELP

DONATE

CAIR-CHICAGO Launches the Travelers Assistance Project (TAP) in Response to Trump's Muslim Ban



Welcome to **TAP**, CAIR-Chicago's Traveler's Assistance Project. The first of its kind nationally this program is created to help travelers secure legal help in light of the **#MuslimBan**. **TAP** includes an online system that collects at-risk traveler information and puts it in a queue for our in-house Attorney Corps and legal teams of our partner

organizations to streamline on our ability to assist on the ground. It provides important traveler "know your rights" updates. You can also sign up to **join us as a volunteer attorney** or **interpreter**.

If you or someone you know is flying into O'Hare and are worried how the Muslim Ban might affect you, **register your flight with us!** Also, **watch the TAP tutorial here.**


CAIR-Chicago's Annual Banquet!



Right-click here to download pictures. To help protect your privacy, Outlook prevented automatic download of this picture from the Internet.



Right-click here to download pictures. To help protect your privacy, Outlook prevented automatic download of this picture from the Internet.



Latest News



**Â AHMED REHAB TO SPEAK AT
"AMERICAN MUSLIMS: BETWEEN
BELONGING & VILIFICATION"**

On Tuesday, February 21st, Executive Director Ahmed Rehab will be giving a lecture titled "American Muslims: Between Belonging & Vilification" at Benedictine University at 12:30pm.




**TSEDENYA BIZANI GIVES KNOW
YOUR RIGHTS TRAINING AT
SUDANESE RESOURCE CENTER**

On February 3rd CAIR-Chicago Operations Coordinator Tsedenya Bizani gave a "Know Your Rights" training at the Sudanese Resource Center to Iraq, Somalian, Sudanese, and Syrian members of the community in regards to the new Executive Order and how it affects them.



**CAIR-CHICAGO WELCOMES NEW
LEGISLATIVE ATTORNEY: MAARIA
MOZAFFAR**

Maaria Mozaffar is a civil rights attorney,
policy drafter and legislative consultant.
We're excited to welcome Maaria to the
CAIR-Chicago team!



**SUFYAN SOHEL SPEAKS AT
KOREMATSU DAY EVENT**

Sohel discussed how America forgets the
persecution and discrimination faced by so
many immigrant populations throughout
American history.



CAIR-Chicago, 17 N. State Street, Suite 1500, Chicago, IL 60602

SafeUnsubscribe™ (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

[Forward this email](#) | [Update Profile](#) | [About our service provider](#)

Sent by e-newsletter@cairchicago.org in collaboration with



[Try it free today](#)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, February 03, 2017 1:47 PM
To: HUMPHREY, BRIAN J (DFO); (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: 17-v-557: Newest ACLU complaint re Executive Order
Attachments: 17-557 - Complaint .pdf

FYI—

(b)(5)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Senior Attorney
Office of the Chief Counsel | U.S. Customs and Border Protection
Department of Homeland Security | San Francisco

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)