(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: Sent:	(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
То:	(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc:	(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) PERRY, CHRISTOPHER M; (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject:	Situational Awareness EAC Bullets Detroit MI: Chinese National Refused Entry – in Possession of (b)(7)(E) – (b)(7)(E)
	U.S. Customs and Border Protection Office of Field Operations
	Bullets for the Executive Assistant Commissioner (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Situational Awar	eness
Detroit MI: Chin	nese National Refused Entry – in Possession of (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E)
Airport (DMA) in Airlines (DL) flight to secondary as an secondary inspecting plates, and various	C) Customs and Border Protection (CBP) officers assigned to the Detroit Metropolitan Detroit Michigan, POE encountered a (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Chinese citizen that arrived on Delta at 188 from Bejing, China. Subject was admitted as an F1 returning student and was referred enforcement referral by CBP officers conducting post primary operations. During the son, CBP officers discovered several tactical items, maps, clothing, backpacks, two ballistic types of medications. (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) The subject was processed as a Withdrawl (WD) in lieu of all and was returned to Beijing, China on DL flight 189 on (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
	C) DMA in Detroit Michigan, POE encountered (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) COC: I on Delta flight 188 from Bejing, China.
	as an F1 returning student and was referred to secondary as an enforcement referral by CBP g post primary operations.
During the second	ary inspection, CBP officers discovered (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) and several bottles of medications. (b)(7)(E)

(b)(7)(E) were detained pending appraisal, and will be seized for failure to declare. stated during the inspection that he owned an AK 47, a Swiss K11, and a KSG, which are in his house in (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)stated the weapons were for hunting, and was possession of an expired Michigan hunting license and hunter Safety certificate. Paperwork in possession that stated possession that stated being treated for (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) When asked about the medication, he stated that he had been hospitalized for (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) twice. Homeland Security Investigations responded. was processed as a WD in lieu of ER pursuant to the Immigration and Naturalization Act, Sec. 212(a)(7) (A)(i)(I), on suspicion that he may be involved in illegal activity. departed on (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) on Delta Airlines flight 188.

No weapons were registered under the (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) address.

(b)(7)(E)

Updates to follow.

Subject Information:

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Name: DOB:

Male Gender: COC: China COR: China

COB: China

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) **Submitted by:**

Date / Time:

(b)(6)(b)(7	(C)
From:	(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent:	(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
To:	OFO AC BULLETS; FLD Houston Field Office
	·
Cc:	OFO FIELD LIAISON
Subject:	EAC Bullets Houston, TX: 1 Student in Possession of Prosecution Declined (b)(7)(E) Refusal of Saudi Arabian F (b)(7)(E) Production Refusal of Saudi Arabian F (b)(7)(E) Federal / State
	U.S. Customs and Border Protection Office of Field Operations
	Bullets for the Executive Assistant Commissioner (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Houston, TX:	(b)(7)(E) ———————————————————————————————————
	Federal / State Prosecution Declined
Summary:	
O_n (b)(6)(b)(7	(C) U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) officers assigned to George Bush
Intercontinental	Airport (IAH) in Houston, TX refused admission to a (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) male Saudi Arabian citizen,
who arrived as a	an F-1 student aboard a KLM Royal Dutch Airlines (KL) flight from Amsterdam and was in
possession	(b)(7)(E) (b) (1) (A)
	(b)(7)(E)
	(b)(7)(E)
(b)(7)(E) The s	ubject was refused admission and processed as a Withdrawal (WD) of application in lieu of a
formal hearing b	before an immigration judge. The subject will remain in CBP custody pending his departure.

Details:

On (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) CBP officers assigned to IAH encountered

Saudi Arabia), who arrived to IAH KL flight 661 from Amsterdam, and applied (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) for admission as an F-1.

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)(b)(7)(E)

focused on verifying travel documents for admissibility of returning F-1 students. (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) was referred to Passport Control Secondary (PCS) for further inspection.

While in PCS, and during a preliminary interview, (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) stated that he was traveling to (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) to continue his mechanical engineering studies at the

Secondary queries revealed that (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) was active in SEVIS, and has been an F-1 student in the U.S. since (b)(6)(b)(7)(C).

When questioned about the image (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) stated he believed he received (b)(7)(E) through a WhatsApp chat group.

(b)(7)(E)

(b)(7)(E)

(b)(7)(E)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) was processed as a WD and is scheduled to depart on the next KLM flight back to Amsterdam. He will remain in CBP custody pending his departure.

Subject of Interest:

Name: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Gender: Male

COC: Saudi Arabia POB: Saudi Arabia

PPN: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Visa #: FIN:

A#:

(b)(7)(E) Record:

(b)(7)(E)

Crossings: No prior crossings in the last six months

Submitted by: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Field Liaison Division

Date / Time: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From:	(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent:	Thursday, $(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)$
То:	(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc:	DELAO, JENNIFER B; $(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)$ $(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)$ $(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)$
Subject:	Situational Awareness EAC Bullets Boston Logan International Airport, MA: CBP
	Officers Refuse Admission to Iranian F 1 Student Previously Employed by $(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)$ $(b)(7)(E)$
	U.S. Customs and Border Protection Office of Field Operations
	Bullets for the Executive Assistant Commissioner (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Situational Awa	reness
	nternational Airport, MA: CBP Officers Refuse Admission to Iranian F-1 Student loyed by OFAC Sanctioned Entity (b)(7)(E)
(b)(7)(E) female Iranian F-	U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) officers assigned to the at the Boston Logan International Airport (BOS) refused admission to a (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) 1 student, who arrived on a Qatar Airways (QR) flight from Tehran, Iran, based on her
(b)(7)(E) In	referred to secondary inspection due to a (b)(7)(E) record for possible affiliations with (b)(7)(E) secondary, the subject later refused to answer further questions without consulting a (b)(6)(b)(
	etermined that the subject was inadmissible into the U.S. and was processed for an Expedited abject returned foreign on board a QR flight. (b)(7)(E)
Details: On (b)(6)(b)(7) (b)(6)(b)(7) Tehran, Iran.	
(b)(6)(b)(7)(0 (b)(7)(E) record for	presented a valid F-1 visa and was referred to secondary inspection (b)(7)(E) previous employment with companies (b)(7)(E)
)	officers conducted an interview and revealed $(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)$ was employed by $(b)(7)(E)$.

(b)(7)(E) officers determined the and nuclear industries.	$\frac{(b)(7)(E)_{is affiliated with the}}{(b)(7)(E)}$	(b)(7)(E) who supports sanctioned entities involved in Iran's oil, gas,
	(b)(7)(E)
(b)(7)(E) officers determined sanctions.	d (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) period of em	ployment with (b)(7)(E) was subjected to (b)(7)(E)
(b)(7)(E) refuse (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)	ed to answer further questions prior	to completing inspection without consulting with a
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) was full Immigration and National	found to be inadmissible into the U.S lity Act and was processed for an Ex	S. pursuant to Section 212(a)(7)(A)(i)(I) of the spedited Removal.
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) was r	returned foreign on QR flight 744 or	the same day of arrival.
(b)(7)(E)		
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) has n	o previous US travel.	
(b)(7)(E)	o previous of duver.	
(b)(7))(E)	
Subjects' Information:	(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)	_
Name: Gender:	(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Female	
DOB:	(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)	
COC:	Iran	
COR:	Iran	
POB:	Tehran, Iran	
FIN:	(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)	
PPN: Visa:		
(b)(7)(E)	Negative	
)(C), (b)(7)(E)
Trusted Traveler: Travel History:	No No prior US nexus.	
Submitted by: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)	1
)(6)(b)(7)(C)	. .

HOFFMAN, TODD A From:

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)Sent: Friday,

To: Owen, Todd C (AC OFO)

Subject: RE: Boston, MA Dfficers Refuse Admission to an Iranian F f 1 Student Who Refused to Answer Questions about Previous

> Sanctioned Employment (b)(7)(E)

thx

Todd A. Hoffman

Executive Director, Admissibility and Passenger Programs

Office of Field Operations

U.S. Customs and Border Protection

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)From: Owen, Todd C (AC OFO) (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Sent: Friday, To: HOFFMAN, TODD A (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(7)(E) Officers Refuse Admission to an Iranian F 1 Student Who Refused to Answer Questions about Previous Sanctioned Employment in the (b)(7)(E) Subject: FW: Boston, MA

(b)(7)(E)As mentioned.

Todd C. Owen Executive Assistant Commissioner

Office of Field Operations U.S. Customs & Border Protection

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) From: HOWE, RANDY J

Sent: Thursday, (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)Owen, Todd C (AC OFO) **To:** WAGNER, JOHN P

Subject: Fwd: Boston, MA: (b)(7)(E) Officers Refuse Admission to an Iranian F-1 Student Who Refused to Answer Questions about Previous

Sanctioned Employment in the (b)(7)(E)

Info

Randy J. Howe **Executive Director, Operations** Office of Field Operations U.S. Customs and Border Protection Office)

(Cell)

Begin forwarded message:

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) From: "DELAO, JENNIFER B"

Date: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

To: "HOWE, RANDY J" (b (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Cc: "FORET, VERNON T

Subject: Fwd: Boston, MA: (b)(7)(E) Officers Refuse Admission to an Iranian F-1 Student Who Refused to Answer Questions about Previous Sanctioned Employment in the (b)(7)(E)

XD, has already inquired with Tim Quinn on this one. I am sure it will generate attention. We have a call scheduled with **FYSA**

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) on Monday.

Thx

Jennifer De La O Director, Field Operations (A) Boston Field Office

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:



Subject: Boston, MA: Officers Refuse Admission to an Iranian F-1 Student Who Refused to Answer Questions about Previous Sanctioned Employment in the (b)(7)(E)
Field Liaison/Sitroom,
The bullets below document the (b)(7)(E) refusal of a citizen of Iran based on previous employment with (b)(7)(E) At the conclusion of the secondary exam, the subject was found to be inadmissible to the U.S. pursuant to Sections 212(a)(7)(A)(i)(I) of the INA and was processed as an expedited removal. Subject was returned foreign on the same day of arrival. Subject departed on QR on (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
U.S. Customs and Border Protection Office of Field Operations
Area Port of Boston Bullets (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Boston, MA (b)(7)(E) Officers Refuse Admission to an Iranian F-1 Student with Previous Employment (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E)
Summary: On (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) Officers assigned to the Boston Logan International Airport (BOS) encountered a (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) female citizen of Iran who embarked in Tehran, Iran. The subject was a (b)(7)(E) based on previous employment with Iranian companies linked to (b)(7)(E) in the (b)(7)(E) Industry. At the conclusion of the secondary exam, the subject was found to be inadmissible to the U.S. pursuant to Sections 212(a)(7)(A)(i)(I) of the INA and was processed as an expedited removal. The subject refused to answer questions without consulting a (b)(6)(6)(7)(C) attorney. Subject was returned foreign on QR 744 on (b)(6)(6)(7)(C)
Details: On (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) CBP Officers assigned to the (b)(7)(E) at Boston Logan International Airport encountered (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) COC: IR), who arrived on board Qatar Airways (QR) flight 743 from Doha, Qatar (DOH) with travel originating in Tehran, Iran (IKA).
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) presented Iranian passport (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) along with an unexpired F1 visa with foil (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
During (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) secondary examination, the information the subject provided was in conflict with open source information about the companies the subject worked for. (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) stated during the secondary inspection that she previously worked for two companies; (b)(7)(E)
$(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)_{\text{stated she worked for}}$ $(b)(6)(b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)$ $(b)(7)(E)$
(b)(7)(E)
(b)(7)(E)
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)
(b)(7)(E)
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) was explained the inspection process by two subject stated she wished to consult before answering any questions while under oath. No further information about the employment history or ties to sanctioned entities could be explained by the subject and she was notified she would be expeditiously removed as her true intentions in the United States could not be verified as she could not properly be inspected.

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) was offered food, water and access to restrooms. Third Party notification was made to a friend in the United States.

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) was found to be inadmissible to the U.S. pursuant to Section 212(a)(7)(A)(i)(I) of the INA and was processed as an expedited removal (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) was returned foreign on the same day of arrival.

(b)(7)(E)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) has no previous US travel

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)DOB

Gender: Female POB: Tehran, Iran COC: Iran COR: Iran

FIN: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(7)(E) PNR (b)(7)(E) SEVIS: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(E)

SIGMA Event: (b)(7)(E)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(A) Border Security Coordinator U.S. Customs & Border Protection Boston Field Office

(b)(7)(E) (office)

(b)(7)(E) **(b)(**

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

