PREA Audit: Subpart B Short-Term Holding Facilities Audit Report



AUDITOR					
Name of Auditor:	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	Organization:	Creative Corrections, LLC		
Email Address:	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	Telephone Number:	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)		
	AGEN	CY			
Name of Agency:	U.S. Customs and Border Protection				
	PROGRAM	OFFICE			
Name of Program Office:	Office of Field Operations				
	SECTOR OR FI	ELD OFFICE			
Name of Sector or Field Office:	Laredo Field Office				
Name of Chief or Director:	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)				
PREA Field Coordinator:	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)				
Sector or Field Office Physical Address:	109 Shiloh Dr., Suite 301, Laredo, Texas 78045				
Mailing Address: (if different from above)					
	SHORT-TERM HOLDING FA	CILITY BEING AUDITE	D		
Information About the Facility					
Name of Facility:	Gateway International Bridge				
Physical Address:	3300 S. Expressway 77/83, Brownsville, Texas 78521				
Mailing Address: (if different from above)	Mailing Address: (if different from above)				
Telephone Number:	(b) (7)(E)				
Facility Leadership					
Name of Officer in Charge:	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	Title:	Assistant Port Director		
Email Address:	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	Telephone Number:	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)		

AUDIT FINDINGS

NARRATIVE OF AUDIT PROCESS AND DESCRIPTION OF FACILITY CHARACTERISTICS:

Directions: Discuss the audit process to include the date(s) of the audit, names of all individuals in attendance, audit methodology, description of the sampling of staff and detainees interviewed, description of the areas of the facility toured, and a summary of facility characteristics.

The initial Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) audit of the Customs and Border Protection (CBP), Office of Field Operations (OFO), Gateway International Bridge, Brownsville, Texas, was conducted on Thursday, August 29, 2019, by PREA certified auditor (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) a contractor for Creative Corrections, LLC. The facility is located at 3300 S. Expressway 77/83, Brownsville, Texas, and is utilized by CBP for short term detention of individuals pending release from custody or transfer to a court, jail, prison, other agency or other unit of the agency.

The purpose of the audit was to determine compliance with Subpart B of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Standards to Prevent, Detect, and Respond to Sexual Abuse and Assault in Confinement Facilities, 6 C.F.R. Part 115, (the "DHS PREA Standards").

[b) (6). (b) (7)(c) CBP Prevention of Sexual Assault Coordinator, Headquarters (HQ); (b) (6). (b) (7)(c) Deputy Director, Personnel Security Division, HQ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) Deputy Director, Personnel Security Division, HQ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) Deputy Director, Personnel Security Division, HQ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) Deputy Director, Personnel Security Division, HQ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) Deputy Director, Personnel Security Division, HQ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) Deputy Director, Personnel Security Division, HQ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) Deputy Director, Personnel Security Division, HQ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) Deputy Director, Personnel Security Division, HQ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) Deputy Director, Personnel Security Division, HQ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) Deputy Director, Personnel Security Division, HQ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) Deputy Director, Personnel Security Division, HQ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) Deputy Director, Personnel Security Division, HQ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) Deputy Director, Personnel Security Division, HQ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) Deputy Director, Personnel Security Division, HQ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) Deputy Director, Personnel Security Division, HQ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) Deputy Director, Personnel Security Division, HQ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) Deputy Director, Personnel Security Division, HQ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) Deputy Director, Personnel Security Division, HQ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) Deputy Director, Personnel Security Division, HQ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) Deputy Director, Personnel Security Division, HQ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) Deputy Director, Personnel Security Division, HQ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) Deputy Director, Personnel Security Division, HQ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) Deputy Division, HQ;

The Auditor arrived at Gateway International Bridge at 6:00 a.m. on August 29, 2019, and began interviewing random Supervisors, Officers and detainees. At approximately 8:30 a.m., the Auditor proceeded to the Conference Room in the Gateway International Bridge facility where an in-briefing was conducted. Those in attendance were:

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) PSA Coordinator, Privacy and Diversity Office (PDO), HQ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Assistant Port Director, Port of Brownsville (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Watch Commander, Port of Brownsville (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Chief/PREA Field Coordinator, Port of Brownsville

After introductions and a brief question and answer period the in-briefing ended, a tour of the facility was provided to include the processing area and hold rooms. The Auditor was walked from the entrance used by detainees, through the Lobby area where detainees were waiting to be processed, through the Soft and Hard Secondary areas where the Auditor observed an immediate pat down search conducted on a female detainee by a female Officer as well as the case processing area where the Auditor observed Officers gathering information and completing assessments on detainees. Finally, the Auditor was able to view all of the hold room areas, to include the room used normally for juveniles or family units. Gateway International Bridge has a total of

(b) (7)(E) and a case processing area. Each hold room contains a bench. Posters were observed on the wall in English and Spanish informing detainees of how and whom to report (in writing, anonymously, to DHS Office of the Inspector General (OIG)) any allegations of sexual abuse. Gateway International Bridge is staffed around the clock by CPB staff and has Medical staff contracted on-site during two shifts. There were no volunteers on site during the conduct of the audit. The Auditor observed contract cleaning staff under direct CBP staff observation at all times while in the processing area. Gateway International Bridge receives males, females, family units, and juveniles.

In addition to the processing area and hold room, the Auditor was able to view the site. Gateway International Bridge (b) (7)(E) while on-

During the site visit, the Auditor was able to observe the intake process. The typical time to be held at Gateway International Bridge is 48 hours. Detainees are provided food and given bedding to sleep on for the duration of the time they are there.

Scope of the Audit: The Auditor reviewed all relevant policies, procedures, and documents in assessing Gateway

International Bridge. The Auditor had access to all areas of the facility. While on-site, the Auditor interviewed 14 staff (8-Officers and 6-Supervisors, including the PREA Field Coordinator) and 9 detainees (3-females and 6-males with Venezuela, Cuba, and Mexico represented). All interviews of both staff and detainees were conducted in a secure, private setting. The Auditor used Language Services Associates to provide interpreter services for the detainee interviews. Prior to arriving on-site, the Auditor interviewed six Headquarter Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) who deal with hiring, investigations, labor employee relations including the Agency PSA Coordinator. The Auditor also spoke with staff from both local Hospitals (Valley Baptist Medical Center and Valley Regional Medical Center in Brownville) regarding the availability of medical and advocacy services.

SUMMARY OF OVERALL FINDINGS:

Standards for the Relevant Review Period)

□ Does Not Meet Standards (Requires Corrective Action)

Directions: Discuss audit findings to include a summary statement of overall findings and the number of provisions which the facility has achieved compliance at each level: Exceeds Standard, Meets Standard, and Does Not Meet Standard.

On August 29, 2019, a Gateway International Bridge exit briefing was held in the Gatev Conference Room. In attendance were:	vay International Bridge				
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) PSA Coordinator, PDO, HQ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Assistant Port Director, Port of Brownsville (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Watch Commander, Port of Brownsville (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Chief, Port of Brownsville (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) National OFO PREA Coordinator, OFO, HQ (via telephone)					
The Auditor discussed observations made during the on-site audit and gave preliminary present a final determination would not be made until she reviewed the on-site notes frestandard requirements.					
The Auditor found compliance with 24 standards and found one standard not met; 115.113 on Detainee Supervision and Monitoring.					
SUMMARY OF AUDIT FINDINGS					
Number of standards exceeded: 0					
Number of standards met: 24					
Number of standards not met: 1					
OVERALL DETERMINATION					
☐ Exceeds Standards (Substantially Exceeds Requirements of Standards)	☐ Low Risk				
☐ Meets Standards (Substantial Compliance; Complies in All Material Ways with the	Not Low Risk ■				

PROVISIONS

Directions: In the notes, the auditor shall include the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination for each provision of the standard, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Corrective Action Plan Final Determination, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility. Failure to comply with any part of a standard provision shall result in a finding of "Does not meet Standard" for that entire provision, unless that part is specifically designated as Not Applicable. For any provision identified as Not Applicable, provide an explanation for the reasoning. If additional space for notes is needed, please utilize space provided on the last page.

§115.111(a) - Zero tolerance of sexual abuse; Prevention of Sexual Assault Coordinator.

X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)
Notes:
(a) CBP Policy on Zero Tolerance of Sexual Abuse and Assault from the CBP Commissioner, dated March 11, 2015, and CBP Directive 2130-030, Prevention, Detection and Response to Sexual Abuse and/or Assault in CBP Holding Facilities, dated January 19, 2018, mandate zero-tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual assault and outlines the agency's approach to prevent, detect, and respond to abusive conduct. The policy and Directive define in detail prohibited acts regarding sexual abuse. The policy and Directive are supplemented with CBP's National Standards on Transport, Escort, Detention, and Search (TEDS), dated October 2015, which sets forth nationwide standards that govern CBP's interaction with detained individuals. Compliance is based on the Auditor's review of CBP Policy on Zero Tolerance of Sexual Abuse and Assault, CBP Directive 2130-030, CBP TEDS, and interviews conducted with random Supervisors and Officers. All indicate they are familiar with agency policy and understand their role in preventing, detecting and responding to sexual abuse. Document review confirms Gateway International Bridge has also provided
multiple refresher emails to all staff.
\$115.113(a) through (c) – Detainee supervision and monitoring. Exceeded Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) X Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action) Notes:
(a) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires all short-term holding facilities, including Gateway International Bridge, develop
(a) CDI Directive 2 130-030 requires all short-term noming racinties, including dateway international bridge, develop
and document a comprehensive detainee supervision guideline that meets the facility's detainee supervision needs.
and document a comprehensive detainee supervision guideline that meets the facility's detainee supervision needs. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who discussed at length the supervision
and document a comprehensive detainee supervision guideline that meets the facility's detainee supervision needs. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who discussed at length the supervision guidelines informing the Auditor there are established at Gateway International
and document a comprehensive detainee supervision guideline that meets the facility's detainee supervision needs. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who discussed at length the supervision guidelines informing the Auditor there are Established at Gateway International Bridge. Staff numbers
and document a comprehensive detainee supervision guideline that meets the facility's detainee supervision needs. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who discussed at length the supervision guidelines informing the Auditor there are established at Gateway International Bridge. Staff numbers The Auditor was informed Gateway International Bridge maintains enough staff positions to provide a safe and secure environment for detainees.
and document a comprehensive detainee supervision guideline that meets the facility's detainee supervision needs. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who discussed at length the supervision guidelines informing the Auditor there are established at Gateway International Bridge. Staff numbers The Auditor was informed Gateway International Bridge maintains enough staff positions to provide a safe and secure environment for detainees. The PREA Field Coordinator indicates the established staff positions are appropriate and flexible from day to day. He
and document a comprehensive detainee supervision guideline that meets the facility's detainee supervision needs. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who discussed at length the supervision guidelines informing the Auditor there are established at Gateway International The Auditor was informed Gateway International Bridge maintains enough staff positions to provide a safe and secure environment for detainees. The PREA Field Coordinator indicates the established staff positions are appropriate and flexible from day to day. He stated that when needed, due to circumstances such as staff shortage or influx of detainees, Gateway International
and document a comprehensive detainee supervision guideline that meets the facility's detainee supervision needs. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who discussed at length the supervision guidelines informing the Auditor there are established at Gateway International Bridge. Staff numbers The Auditor was informed Gateway International Bridge maintains enough staff positions to provide a safe and secure environment for detainees. The PREA Field Coordinator indicates the established staff positions are appropriate and flexible from day to day. He stated that when needed, due to circumstances such as staff shortage or influx of detainees, Gateway International Bridge would contact scheduling to request additional Officers from other areas. Gateway International Bridge has
and document a comprehensive detainee supervision guideline that meets the facility's detainee supervision needs. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who discussed at length the supervision guidelines informing the Auditor there are established at Gateway International Bridge. Staff numbers The Auditor was informed Gateway International Bridge maintains enough staff positions to provide a safe and secure environment for detainees. The PREA Field Coordinator indicates the established staff positions are appropriate and flexible from day to day. He stated that when needed, due to circumstances such as staff shortage or influx of detainees, Gateway International Bridge would contact scheduling to request additional Officers from other areas. Gateway International Bridge has that are monitored by staff on a continuous basis.
and document a comprehensive detainee supervision guideline that meets the facility's detainee supervision needs. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who discussed at length the supervision guidelines informing the Auditor there are established at Gateway International Bridge. Staff numbers The Auditor was informed Gateway International Bridge maintains enough staff positions to provide a safe and secure environment for detainees. The PREA Field Coordinator indicates the established staff positions are appropriate and flexible from day to day. He stated that when needed, due to circumstances such as staff shortage or influx of detainees, Gateway International Bridge would contact scheduling to request additional Officers from other areas. Gateway International Bridge has that are monitored by staff on a continuous basis. This aids as an additional monitoring measure in the supervision of detainees by allowing more staff supervision than
and document a comprehensive detainee supervision guideline that meets the facility's detainee supervision needs. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who discussed at length the supervision guidelines informing the Auditor there are established at Gateway International Bridge. Staff numbers The Auditor was informed Gateway International Bridge maintains enough staff positions to provide a safe and secure environment for detainees. The PREA Field Coordinator indicates the established staff positions are appropriate and flexible from day to day. He stated that when needed, due to circumstances such as staff shortage or influx of detainees, Gateway International Bridge would contact scheduling to request additional Officers from other areas. Gateway International Bridge has that are monitored by staff on a continuous basis. This aids as an additional monitoring measure in the supervision of detainees by allowing more staff supervision than only those present in the processing area and hold rooms.
and document a comprehensive detainee supervision guideline that meets the facility's detainee supervision needs. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who discussed at length the supervision guidelines informing the Auditor there are stablished at Gateway International Bridge. Staff numbers The Auditor was informed Gateway International Bridge maintains enough staff positions to provide a safe and secure environment for detainees. The PREA Field Coordinator indicates the established staff positions are appropriate and flexible from day to day. He stated that when needed, due to circumstances such as staff shortage or influx of detainees, Gateway International Bridge would contact scheduling to request additional Officers from other areas. Gateway International Bridge has that are monitored by staff on a continuous basis. This aids as an additional monitoring measure in the supervision of detainees by allowing more staff supervision than only those present in the processing area and hold rooms. (b) (c) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires the supervision guidelines be reviewed at least annually taking into account the
and document a comprehensive detainee supervision guideline that meets the facility's detainee supervision needs. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who discussed at length the supervision guidelines informing the Auditor there are established at Gateway International Bridge. Staff numbers The Auditor was informed Gateway International Bridge maintains enough staff positions to provide a safe and secure environment for detainees. The PREA Field Coordinator indicates the established staff positions are appropriate and flexible from day to day. He stated that when needed, due to circumstances such as staff shortage or influx of detainees, Gateway International Bridge would contact scheduling to request additional Officers from other areas. Gateway International Bridge has that are monitored by staff on a continuous basis. This aids as an additional monitoring measure in the supervision of detainees by allowing more staff supervision than only those present in the processing area and hold rooms. (b) (c) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires the supervision guidelines be reviewed at least annually taking into account the ohysical layout, composition of detainees, the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated instances of sexual
and document a comprehensive detainee supervision guideline that meets the facility's detainee supervision needs. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who discussed at length the supervision guidelines informing the Auditor there are stablished at Gateway International Bridge. Staff numbers The Auditor was informed Gateway International Bridge maintains enough staff positions to provide a safe and secure environment for detainees. The PREA Field Coordinator indicates the established staff positions are appropriate and flexible from day to day. He stated that when needed, due to circumstances such as staff shortage or influx of detainees, Gateway International Bridge would contact scheduling to request additional Officers from other areas. Gateway International Bridge has that are monitored by staff on a continuous basis. This aids as an additional monitoring measure in the supervision of detainees by allowing more staff supervision than only those present in the processing area and hold rooms. (b) (c) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires the supervision guidelines be reviewed at least annually taking into account the
and document a comprehensive detainee supervision guideline that meets the facility's detainee supervision needs. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who discussed at length the supervision guidelines informing the Auditor there are stablished at Gateway International Bridge. Staff numbers The Auditor was informed Gateway International Bridge maintains enough staff positions to provide a safe and secure environment for detainees. The PREA Field Coordinator indicates the established staff positions are appropriate and flexible from day to day. He stated that when needed, due to circumstances such as staff shortage or influx of detainees, Gateway International Bridge would contact scheduling to request additional Officers from other areas. Gateway International Bridge has that are monitored by staff on a continuous basis. This aids as an additional monitoring measure in the supervision of detainees by allowing more staff supervision than only those present in the processing area and hold rooms. (b)(c) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires the supervision guidelines be reviewed at least annually taking into account the ohysical layout, composition of detainees, the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated instances of sexual abuse, findings, and recommendations of incident reviews and any other relevant factors. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who explained how Gateway International Bridge maintains
and document a comprehensive detainee supervision guideline that meets the facility's detainee supervision needs. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who discussed at length the supervision guidelines informing the Auditor there are stablished at Gateway International Bridge. Staff numbers The Auditor was informed Sateway International Bridge maintains enough staff positions to provide a safe and secure environment for detainees. The PREA Field Coordinator indicates the established staff positions are appropriate and flexible from day to day. He stated that when needed, due to circumstances such as staff shortage or influx of detainees, Gateway International Bridge would contact scheduling to request additional Officers from other areas. Gateway International Bridge has that are monitored by staff on a continuous basis. This aids as an additional monitoring measure in the supervision of detainees by allowing more staff supervision than only those present in the processing area and hold rooms. (b) (c) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires the supervision guidelines be reviewed at least annually taking into account the obysical layout, composition of detainees, the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated instances of sexual abuse, findings, and recommendations of incident reviews and any other relevant factors. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who explained how Gateway International Bridge maintains appropriate supervision of detainees and how the process is reevaluated from shift to shift. However, the facility was
and document a comprehensive detainee supervision guideline that meets the facility's detainee supervision needs. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who discussed at length the supervision guidelines informing the Auditor there are established at Gateway International Bridge. Staff numbers The Auditor was informed Gateway International Bridge maintains enough staff positions to provide a safe and secure environment for detainees. The PREA Field Coordinator indicates the established staff positions are appropriate and flexible from day to day. He stated that when needed, due to circumstances such as staff shortage or influx of detainees, Gateway International Bridge would contact scheduling to request additional Officers from other areas. Gateway International Bridge has that are monitored by staff on a continuous basis. This aids as an additional monitoring measure in the supervision of detainees by allowing more staff supervision than only those present in the processing area and hold rooms. (b) (c) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires the supervision guidelines be reviewed at least annually taking into account the obysical layout, composition of detainees, the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated instances of sexual abuse, findings, and recommendations of incident reviews and any other relevant factors. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who explained how Gateway International Bridge maintains appropriate supervision of detainees and how the process is reevaluated from shift to shift. However, the facility was not able to sufficiently explain how an annual review of the circumstances is evaluated to determine if supervision is
and document a comprehensive detainee supervision guideline that meets the facility's detainee supervision needs. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who discussed at length the supervision guidelines informing the Auditor there are stablished at Gateway International Bridge. Staff numbers The Auditor was informed Sateway International Bridge maintains enough staff positions to provide a safe and secure environment for detainees. The PREA Field Coordinator indicates the established staff positions are appropriate and flexible from day to day. He stated that when needed, due to circumstances such as staff shortage or influx of detainees, Gateway International Bridge would contact scheduling to request additional Officers from other areas. Gateway International Bridge has that are monitored by staff on a continuous basis. This aids as an additional monitoring measure in the supervision of detainees by allowing more staff supervision than only those present in the processing area and hold rooms. (b) (c) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires the supervision guidelines be reviewed at least annually taking into account the obysical layout, composition of detainees, the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated instances of sexual abuse, findings, and recommendations of incident reviews and any other relevant factors. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who explained how Gateway International Bridge maintains appropriate supervision of detainees and how the process is reevaluated from shift to shift. However, the facility was
and document a comprehensive detainee supervision guideline that meets the facility's detainee supervision needs. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who discussed at length the supervision guidelines informing the Auditor there are established at Gateway International Bridge. Staff numbers The Auditor was informed Gateway International Bridge maintains enough staff positions to provide a safe and secure environment for detainees. The PREA Field Coordinator indicates the established staff positions are appropriate and flexible from day to day. He stated that when needed, due to circumstances such as staff shortage or influx of detainees, Gateway International Bridge would contact scheduling to request additional Officers from other areas. Gateway International Bridge has that are monitored by staff on a continuous basis. This aids as an additional monitoring measure in the supervision of detainees by allowing more staff supervision than only those present in the processing area and hold rooms. (b) (c) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires the supervision guidelines be reviewed at least annually taking into account the obysical layout, composition of detainees, the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated instances of sexual abuse, findings, and recommendations of incident reviews and any other relevant factors. The Auditor spoke with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors who explained how Gateway International Bridge maintains appropriate supervision of detainees and how the process is reevaluated from shift to shift. However, the facility was not able to sufficiently explain how an annual review of the circumstances is evaluated to determine if supervision is

§115.114(a) and (b) – Juvenile and family detainees.
Exceeded Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)
☐ Not Applicable (provide explanation in notes): Notes:
(a)(b) CBP TEDS requires Gateway International Bridge to place each juvenile in the least restrictive setting appropriate
to their age and special needs, provided the setting is consistent with the need to ensure the safety and security of the detainee and that of others. The Auditor interviewed random Supervisors and Officers who process juveniles and children brought to Gateway International Bridge who state the children are processed as either accompanied by a parent or legal guardian or as an unaccompanied alien child (UAC). UACs are held separately from any adult in a hold room designated for the purpose of holding UACs. Staff also state minors accompanied by an adult are thoroughly vetted to ensure it is a parent or legal guardian relationship. This includes the use of documentation reviews, interviews and telephone calls when contact information for other relatives is available. If a legal relationship cannot be established, the minor is handled as an UAC and kept separate from adults under the least restrictive setting possible. The Auditor was able to view the hold room utilized for UACs and discussed in depth with staff the methods used to allow the least restrictive setting. This includes leaving the hold room door opened to allow UACs free movement, a television with DVDs for UACs, smaller furniture and snacks as needed. It was clear that the well-being of juveniles and making them as comfortable as possible is a top priority to all Supervisors and Officers interviewed. During the on-site visit Gateway International Bridge had one UAC in holding but the Auditor was unable to interview the UAC due to medical quarantine.
§115.115(b) through (f) – Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches.
Exceeded Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)
Notes: (b)(c) CBP TEDS details the type and conditions under which searches can and will be performed to ensure the safety
of staff, civilians and detainees. It prohibits cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches except in exigent circumstances including consideration of officer safety or when performed by medical practitioners. If performed, the policy requires strip/body cavity searches be recorded in the electronic system of record. CBP TEDS
details the requirement that staff must not conduct visual body cavity searches of juveniles and are to refer all body cavity searches of juveniles to a medical practitioner. During interviews with the PREA Field Coordinator, random
Supervisors and Officers all consistently state cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender body cavity searches would not be conducted except in exigent circumstances and then only after receiving approval from a supervisor. They also
indicate any visual body cavity search of an adult or juvenile would be referred to a medical practitioner at one of the local hospitals. Documentation review reveals there have been no strip or body cavity searches at Gateway
International Bridge during the past 12 months, and all staff interviewed indicate strip searches and body cavity searches (b) (7)(E)
(d) CBP TEDS specifies the requirement that enables detainees to shower (where showers are available), perform
bodily functions, and change clothing without being viewed by staff of the opposite gender, except in exigent
circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks or is otherwise appropriate in connection with a
medical examination or under medical supervision. Hold rooms at Gateway International Bridge do not have shower areas. (b) (7)(E) hold rooms do not have toilet areas. The one hold room that does have a toilet area also has a
partition around the toilet, and (b) (7)(E) of that hold room does not show the toilet area to ensure staff are not able
to view detainees while performing bodily functions. Detainees held in the hold rooms that do not contain toilet areas
are escorted as needed to a toilet area (b) (7)(E) and the privacy required by the standard is provided.
Interviews with random Supervisors and Officers as well as detainees confirm opposite gender staff knock on the door
to announce their presence before entering a hold room. The Auditor also observed Officers knock on the doors during
the on-site audit.
(e) CBP TEDS prohibits staff from searching or physically examining a detainee for the sole purpose of determining the
detainee's gender. If the detainee's gender is unknown, staff are to ask the detainee their gender or gender identity. If the detainee declines to state their gender, the gender will be recorded in the appropriate electronic system of

record as unknown. Interviews with random Supervisors and Officers confirm staff are aware of the prohibition on searching detainees to determine gender and the appropriate way to ask detainees their gender or gender identity. There were no detainees who self-identified as transgender during the on-site visit for the Auditor to interview.

(f) The Auditor reviewed the CBP Personal Search Handbook, OFO, dated July 2004, as well as a training presentation and Instructor Guide for CBP S340C Personal Search Policy and Procedure that is provided to all CBP Officer trainees in basic academy with refresher training in PALMS annually and practical training during qualification sessions quarterly. The handbook gives general terms how staff are to conduct pat down searches, including cross-gender pat down searches in exigent circumstances, and S340C training explains in further detail the scope of an immediate pat down, a pat down search, and a partial body search. However, neither the handbook nor S340C training goes into detail on how to search a transgender detainee. The Auditor interviewed random Supervisors and Officers all of which were able to describe how searches of detainees, including transgender detainees, are completed. All random Supervisors and Officers described how to ask transgender detainees their sexual orientation and any searches of the transgender detainee would be conducted by a staff member of the same gender as the transgender detainee identifies. It was obvious to the Auditor that staff at Gateway International Bridge had been trained on how to handle searching of transgender detainees based on staff interviews and the facility's ability to thoroughly ensure the staff understood the muster which was issued on December 18, 2018.

(a) (b) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires the Office of Human Resource Management (HRM) establish policy and procedures to ensure CBP does not hire or promote personnel who have had previous substantiated allegations of

Notes:

engaging in sexual abuse and/or assault to any position where the employee may interact with detainees in CBP holding facilities. The auditor interviewed the HQ HRM SME prior to the site visit and confirmed CBP does not hire or promote any employee or enlist the services of any contractor who has been found to have engaged, been convicted of engaging, or civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in sexual abuse as defined in the standard and agency Directive. She states interviews conducted with new applicants as well as any applicant for promotion includes specific questions about previous sexual abuse misconduct. She confirms new staff and applicants for promotion are

made aware there is a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct. She states staff receive this information in the new employee orientation packet which includes CBP Standards of Conduct and the Table of Offenses and Penalties; the information is also included during annual refresher training in the Rules of Behavior

- (c)(d) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires the Office of Professional Responsibility (OPR) ensure background investigations are conducted for applicants for employment and contractors having contact with detainees to determine suitability and updated background investigations be conducted every five years for CBP personnel who may have contact with detainees. An interview with the HQ Personnel Security Division (PSD) SME indicates background checks for CBP are the most thorough investigations conducted for DHS. She informed the Auditor guestion number three asked by all credentialed background investigators is, "Have you ever engaged in or have you ever been charged with sexual abuse"? She adds an affirmative response results in the individual not being hired and their application is stopped. The HQ PSD SME informed the Auditor rechecks are completed every five years. The Auditor submitted 10 names, 8 CBP employees and 2 contract Medical employees, to PSD for background check and re-check confirmation. Documentation provided in response confirms all 10 names submitted have had background investigations completed or initiated on schedule.
- (e) Review of HRM Operations, Programs, and Policy Standard Operating Procedures (SOP), PREA Hiring and Promotions, dated February 29, 2016, confirms it informs potential and current staff material omissions regarding sexual abuse, or the provision of materially false information, are grounds for termination or withdrawal of an offer of employment. The HQ Labor Employee Relations (LER) SME informed the Auditor staff are made aware of this when they receive their new employee orientation packet which includes CBP Standards of Conduct and the Table of Offenses and Penalties. She states this information is included each year thereafter during annual refresher training in the Rules of Behavior module.
- (f) The HRM PREA Hiring and Promotions SOP requires the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work, unless prohibited by law. The Auditor interviewed the HQ Hiring Center SME and she confirms this would be sent by PSD.

§115.118(a) and (b) - Upgrades to facilities and technologies

3113.110(a) and (b) - opgrades to racinities and technologies.
☐ Exceeded Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Not Applicable (provide explanation in notes):
Notes:
(a)(b) Gateway International Bridge has had no substantial expansions or modifications (b) (7)(E)
(b) (7)(E) The Auditor conducted an interview with the PREA Field Coordinator. He states during the
planning for the (b) (7)(E) the ability to protect detainees from sexual abuse was highly considered. He
states the Agency considered how updated technology would enhance its ability to protect detainees at Gateway
International Bridge from sexual abuse. The Auditor was able to (b) (7)(E)

§115.121(c) through (e) - Evidence protocols and forensic medical examinations.

Г	1 Exceeded Standard	(substantially	exceeds.	requirement	of	standard

X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)

(b) (7)(E)

(c)(d) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires Gateway International Bridge provide emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention service, including forensic medical examination, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care to alleged victims of sexual assault. The treatment is to be without financial cost to the detainee and regardless of whether the victim names the alleged abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. CBP TEDS requires if the detainee victim is transported for forensic medical examination to a local medical facility that offers victim advocacy services, Officers are to permit the detainee to use such services to the extent available, consistent with security needs. The Auditor interviewed the PREA Field Coordinator who confirms detainee victims receive medical and mental health treatment at no cost to the detainee as well as access to advocacy personnel. He states detainees are transported to one of two local hospitals, Valley Baptist Medical Center and Valley Regional Medical Center in Brownsville. The Auditor confirmed with both hospitals forensic medical examinations would be provided by a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) or other qualified health care personnel if a SANE is not available

at no cost and advocacy services are available at their locations. During interviews with random Supervisors and Officers, all are aware of the need for medical care when responding to the sexual abuse of a detainee. (e) The Auditor was informed by the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors the Brownsville Police Department would be notified of any sexual assault. The PREA Field Coordinator provided the Auditor documentation requesting Brownsville Police Department comply with sections (a-d) of the standard.
\$115.122(c) and (d) – Policies to ensure investigation of allegations and appropriate agency oversight. Exceeded Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action) Notes:
(c)(d) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires staff with knowledge, suspicion, or information of any incident of sexual abuse and/or assault of a detainee in a holding facility, retaliation against any person, including a detainee, who reports, complains about, or participates in an investigation about an incident, and any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation to report the information to the Joint Intake Center (JIC). The Directive further requires Gateway International Bridge report allegations of sexual assault/abuse to law enforcement agencies with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations. The HQ Sexual Abuse and Assault Investigations Coordinator (SAAI) SME and PREA Field Coordinator both indicate sexual abuse allegations are reported to JIC, the Commissioner's Situation Room and local law enforcement. The PREA Field Coordinator states the supervisor on duty would be responsible for making the required notifications. The Auditor confirmed during interviews with random Supervisors they are aware of and understand the notification requirements. The HQ PSA Coordinator indicates in her interview upon any notification of sexual abuse or sexual assault made to JIC she is immediately notified as stipulated in CBP Directive 3340-025E, Reporting Significant Incidents to the Commissioners Situation Room, dated May 21, 2018. The facility has had no sexual abuse allegations reported or investigated during the prior 12 months resulting in no notifications made.
§115.131(a) through (c) – Employee, contractor and volunteer training. □ Exceeded Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard) X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) □ Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action) Notes:
(a) (b) (c) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires all uniformed agents and officers, special agents, fact finders, contractors, and volunteers who may have contact with detainees in CBP holding facilities receive PREA training as required in Subpart B of the DHS Standards. The Directive further requires retention of training records as stipulated in the standard. The Auditor reviewed the PREA General Training curriculum provided to staff at Gateway International Bridge. The training is provided to them through the Performance and Learning Management System (PALMS). This training includes the agency's zero-tolerance policy for all forms of sexual abuse, the definitions and examples of prohibited and illegal sexual behavior, and the rights of detainees to be free from sexual abuse and retaliation for reporting sexual abuse. The training provides information on where sexual abuse may occur, recognition of physical, behavioral, and emotional signs of sexual abuse, and methods of preventing and responding to such occurrences. Staff is instructed on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with detainees and how to communicate effectively and professionally with detainees, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex (LGBTI), or gender nonconforming detainees. Interviews with random Supervisors, including the PREA Field Coordinator, and Officers confirm all staff have received PREA training and training is provided every two years. The PALMS system is able to provide an up-to-date listing of staff trained and those needing training. The Auditor submitted 10 names, 8 CBP employees and 2 contract Medical employees, to the PREA Field Coordinator for training record confirmation. Documentation provided

X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

in response confirms all 10 names submitted have had training as required by the standard on schedule. Additionally, document review confirms CBP Directive 2130-030 was provided to all staff through the agency email program.

§115.132 – Notification to detainees of the agency's zero-tolerance policy.

□ Exceeded Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☐ Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Notes:

CBP makes public the zero-tolerance policy through the webpage at: https://www.cpd.gov/about/care-and-custody. The facility also ensures key information regarding the zero-tolerance policy is visible and continuously available to detainees through posters located in the processing area and inside the hold rooms. The posters were observed in English and Spanish. Information on the posters includes: CBP has zero-tolerance for sexual abuse/assault; reporting can be anonymous and confidential; be safe and get help; tell a CBP official; call DHS OIG (toll free number provided); and telecommunication devices available by calling a toll-free number (provided). The Auditor spoke to nine random detainees who report they were aware of the posters and had a general understanding of the information provided on the poster. Most indicate they would be comfortable reporting sexual abuse to an Officer if needed and most were aware of the telephone hotline available for reporting. All detainees interviewed were LEP. The Auditor interviewed random Supervisors and Officers who confirm detainees are notified of the agency's zero- tolerance policy with posters.

§115.134 – Specialized training: Investigations.
☐ Exceeded Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☐ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
□ Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)
X Not Applicable (provide explanation in notes):
Notes:
N/A – Refer to the CBP Sexual Abuse Investigations Audit Report.
§115.141(a) through (e) – Assessment for risk of victimization and abusiveness.
□ Exceeded Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)
Notes:

(a)(b)(c)(d) CBP TEDS states before placing any detainees together in a hold room the processing officer must assess the information before them to determine if the detainee may be considered an at-risk detainee, or at-risk of posing a threat to others. This assessment includes: whether the detainee has exhibited a mental, physical, or developmental disability; the age of the detainee; their physical build; any prior arrests or incarcerations; if the detainee identifies as LGBTI or gender non-conforming; any prior sexual victimization, and the detainee's own concerns about his/her physical safety. The Auditor reviewed the PREA General Training staff receive regarding conducting assessments. Random Supervisor and Officer interviews confirm the assessment is performed on each detainee arriving at the facility. Supervisors and Officers state if the assessment indicates a detainee may be at high risk of being abused by other detainees, he/she is provided with heightened protection and if the assessment indicates a detainee may be high risk of being sexually abusive towards other detainees, he/she is provided with heightened supervision. According to staff, this could include direct sight and sound supervision, single room placement or being seated with an Officer in the processing area. The random interviews with detainees confirm they were asked about concerns for their own safety while at the facility upon admission. At the time of the site visit, the auditor was able to observe the processing of detainees at Gateway International Bridge and had the opportunity to review assessment documentation. (e) CBP TEDS requires all short-term holding facilities, including Gateway International Bridge, implement appropriate controls on the dissemination of private and/or sensitive information provided by detainees during this risk assessment; staff are to disclose this information only to those personnel with a need-to-know. Interviews with random Supervisors and Officers, as well as the PREA Field Coordinator, indicate information is only provided on a need-to-know basis.

§115.151(a) through (c) – Detainee reporting.

	Exceeded Standard	(substantially	exceeds requirement	t of	standar	d)
--	-------------------	----------------	---------------------	------	---------	----

X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Notes:

(a)(b) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires detainees be provided multiple ways to privately report sexual abuse and/or assault, retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and/or assault, or staff neglect or violations of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. It further requires the facility provide at least one way for detainees to report sexual abuse to a public or private entity not connected to the agency. Detainees must be able to report confidentially and anonymously, if desired, as well as both verbally and in writing. CBP's procedures for reporting alleged sexual abuse and/or assault are to be visible or continuously and readily available to detainees at holding facilities and posted

on the CBP public Website. Interviews with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors and Officers confirm detainees are provided information on the multiple ways to report through posters (English and Spanish). Provided information indicates detainees may report confidentially and anonymously, verbally or in writing to any CBP Official or by utilizing the toll-free telephone number to OIG. The auditor interviewed nine random detainees. Most were aware there was a telephone number available to them, and all were aware they could confidentially inform staff of any allegation of sexual abuse when needed. During the site visit, the Auditor was able to view and test the telephone that would be made available to a detainee to call OIG; the telephone was found operational. The Auditor questioned random Supervisors and Officers about the process for detainees making reports to OIG as the telephone is outside the hold room. They state the detainee only needs to tell staff they wish to report something; they would not be required to disclose what they wanted to report before being escorted to the telephone in a separate private room in the processing area.

(c) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires staff at Gateway International Bridge accept allegations reported to them verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third-parties, and promptly record such reports according to the operational procedures. The Auditor interviewed 14 random Supervisors, including the PREA Field Coordinator, and Officers, and all confirmed their understanding of accepting allegations verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third-parties. All staff state the allegations would be reported to their supervisor and documented.

§115.154 – Third-party reporting.

	Exceeded Standard	(substantially	exceeds	requirement	of standard)
--	--------------------------	----------------	---------	-------------	--------------

X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Notes:

CBP Directive 2130-030 states detainees may utilize third-party individuals to report allegations of sexual abuse. The agency provides reporting information for anyone wishing to report an allegation on behalf of a detainee on their webpage at https://www.cpd.gov/about/care-and-custody. The webpage provides a toll-free telephone number, USPS address and email address to JIC; and a toll-free telephone number, direct complaint link, and USPS address for OIG. During interviews with nine random detainees, most indicate they are aware of being able to have someone report sexual abuse on their behalf. Interviews with random Supervisors and Officers confirm that a third-party report would be handled in the same way as a report received directly from a detainee.

§115.161(a) through (d) - Staff reporting duties.

П	Exceeded Standard	(substantially	, exceeds	requirement	of standard)
	Exceeded Standard	(Substantially	y exceeds	requirement	ui stariuaru)

X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Notes:

(a) (b) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires staff report as promptly as possible any knowledge, suspicion, or information of the following: an incident of sexual abuse and/or assault of a detainee in a holding facility; retaliation against any person, including a detainee, who reports, complains about, or participates in an investigation about an incident, and any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation. CBP Directive 2130-030 also requires staff report any misconduct upon becoming aware of it. CBP TEDS requires staff to immediately report: any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse against any detainee, retaliation against detainees or staff who reported or participated in an investigation about such an incident, and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation. CBP Directive 51735-013A, CBP Standard of Conduct, dated March 13, 2012, not only allows staff to report within their chain of command, it requires them to report outside their chain of command to JIC, CBP Internal Affairs, OPR, or OIG. The Auditor interviewed random Supervisors and Officers. All staff understood the reporting requirements if they receive a report of sexual abuse of a detainee, retaliation of a detainee or staff for reporting or participating in an investigation, or staff neglect, or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to sexual abuse. Staff confirmed their responsibilities to immediately report any allegation of sexual abuse, retaliation, or staff failure to perform their duties, within and outside their chain of command if necessary.

(c) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires staff not reveal any information related to a sexual abuse report except as necessary to aid the detainee, protect other detainees or staff, or to make security and management decisions. This information is also provided to staff in the mandatory PREA training. The Auditor interviewed random Supervisors and Officers. All indicate information they become aware of is not shared except on a need-to-know basis or during an investigation into the matter.

(d) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires CBP staff notify relevant agencies if the alleged victim of a sexual assault is a

vulnerable adult or under the age of 18. The Auditor confirmed the reporting requirements during interviews conducted with random Supervisors and the PREA Field Coordinator. The PREA Field Coordinator states should a vulnerable adult or person under 18 be sexually assaulted at Gateway International Bridge, the supervisor on duty would make notifications to the appropriate local State Agencies. Interviews with random Supervisors confirm they are aware of this duty and understand the notification procedure. Gateway International Bridge has had no incidents requiring this type of notification during the last 12 months.

§115.162 – Agency	protection duties.
-------------------	--------------------

☐ Exceeded Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Notes:

CBP TEDS states if an Officer has a reasonable belief a detainee may be subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, they are to take immediate action to protect the detainee. This specific question was asked of the 14 random Supervisors and Officers interviewed, including the PREA Field Coordinator. All indicate any detainee believed to be in imminent danger of being sexually abused would be separated from the other detainees and placed under constant supervision of staff. All state depending on the hold rooms available at the time, the detainee would be placed in a hold room alone or under direct supervision of staff.

§115.163(a) through (d) - Report to other confinement facilities.

☐ Exceeded Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Notes:

- (a) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires staff make reasonable efforts to promptly report any allegation of sexual abuse the facility becomes aware of which occurred at another facility. The notification is required to be made to the appropriate office or Administrator of the facility where the alleged incident occurred as well as JIC and the Commissioner's Situation Room. An interview with the PREA Field Coordinator confirms the notification would be done as soon as possible by the supervisor on duty in accordance with the Directive. There were no notifications made by Gateway International Bridge in the last 12 months as no alleged incidents at other facilities have been reported to staff. It was confirmed during interviews with random Supervisors they are aware of this duty and notification procedures.
- (b) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires the notification to other confinement facilities be as soon as possible. An interview with the PREA Field Coordinator indicates he understands this requirement and the 72 hour reporting requirement of the standard. He states the supervisor on duty would be responsible for ensuring this notification occurs and it would be completed much sooner than the 72 hours required by the standard.
- (c) An interview with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors confirms the receipt of an allegation a detainee was sexually abused while confined at another facility and the required notifications would be documented by the supervisor on duty.
- (d) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires a prompt, thorough, objective investigation be conducted by specially trained investigators anytime an allegation is received. An interview with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors confirms if an allegation is received from another facility regarding an allegation of sexual abuse that occurred at Gateway International Bridge, an investigation would be promptly initiated. Gateway International Bridge received no referrals from other facilities in the last 12 months so there were no referrals for investigation made.

115.164(a) and (b) - Responder duties.

☐ Exceeded Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Notes:

(a) (b) CBP Directive 2130-030 details the responsibilities of the first law enforcement staff on scene of an allegation of sexual abuse. The Directive and PREA training require law enforcement staff separate the alleged victim and abuser, preserve and protect the crime scene, and if the abuse occurred within a time period that allows for the collection of physical evidence, request the alleged victim and abuser not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence and then notify law enforcement staff. During interviews with random Supervisors and

Officers, staff detailed their responsibilities as first responders. Each told the auditor they would separate the victim from the abuser, provide medical assistance if needed by having the detainee transported to a local hospital, preserve evidence to the extent possible, and notify their supervisor. The Auditor also interviewed contract Medical staff who detailed how they would request that an alleged victim not do anything that could destroy evidence and quickly notify law enforcement staff should they be a first responder.

§115.165(a) through (c) - Coordinated re	response.
--	-----------

☐ Exceeded Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

- X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Notes:

(a) CBP Directive 2130-030 stipulates the entire document is CBP's written institutional plan outlining the coordinated multidisciplinary team approach to responding to sexual abuse. The 21 sections of the Directive cover aspects from hiring, training, reporting, responding, medical and mental health services, investigations, and data collection. The PREA Field Coordinator discussed the facility plan in great detail during his interview. He described the first responder duties, medical treatment for detainees as needed at local hospitals, mental health services provided as needed, investigations and involvement of the Brownsville Police Department, and subsequent incident reviews and recommendations.

(b)(c) CBP Directive 2130-030 states if an alleged victim of sexual abuse and/or assault is transferred to another DHS facility, Gateway International Bridge must inform the receiving agency of the alleged incident and the alleged victim's potential need for medical or social services. If the alleged victim of sexual abuse and/or assault is transferred to a non-DHS facility, Gateway International Bridge must inform the receiving facility or agency, as permitted by law, of the incident and the alleged victim's potential need for such services, unless the alleged victim requests otherwise. The PREA Field Coordinator informed the Auditor during the last 12 months Gateway International Bridge has had no cases requiring such notification to a DHS or non-DHS facility. He states if they did, the supervisor on duty would follow the notification requirements outlined in Directive 2130-030.

§115.166 – Protection of detainees from contact with alleged abusers.

☐ Exceeded Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

□ Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Notes:

CBP Directive 2130-030 requires Agency management consider whether any staff, contractor, or volunteer alleged to have perpetrated sexual abuse and/or assault should be removed from duties requiring detainee contact pending the outcome of an investigation and will do so if the seriousness and plausibility of the allegation make removal appropriate. The PREA Field Coordinator states during his interview an allegation of sexual abuse and/or assault involving staff, contractor, or volunteer would result in the person being removed from any placement having detainee contact pending the outcome of an investigation. He indicates there has not been any allegation of sexual abuse made against a staff member requiring this type of response.

§115.167 - Agency protection against retaliation.

☐ Exceeded Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Notes:

CBP Directive 2130-030 prohibits agents, officers, and other CBP personnel from retaliating against any person or detainee who reports, complains about, or participates in an investigation of sexual abuse and/or assault. This retaliation prohibition is also detailed in the PREA general training each staff member receives. The Auditor interviewed 14 random Supervisors and Officers, including the PREA Field Coordinator. Each was aware of the prohibition of retaliation against anyone who makes an allegation of sexual misconduct or participates in the investigation. The PREA Field Coordinator informed the auditor there have been no allegations of retaliation made during the last 12 months.

§115.171 – Criminal and administrative investigations.
☐ Exceeded Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)
X Not Applicable (provide explanation in notes):
Notes:
N/A – Refer to the CBP Sexual Abuse Investigations Audit Report.
\$115.170. Full-ording standard for administrative investigations
§115.172 – Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations.
Exceeded Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
 Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)
X Not Applicable (provide explanation in notes):
Notes:
N/A – Refer to the CBP Sexual Abuse Investigations Audit Report.
TWA - Refer to the obi- Sexual Abuse Investigations Addit Report.
§115.176(a) and (c) through (d) – Disciplinary sanctions for staff.
□ Exceeded Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)
☐ Not Applicable (provide explanation in notes):
Notes:
(a) CBP Directive 2130-030 informs CBP personnel they may be subject to disciplinary or adverse action up to and
including removal from their position and Federal Service for substantiated allegations of sexual abuse and/or assault
and/or for violating CBP's sexual abuse policies. The Auditor interviewed HQ SAAI and LER SMEs. Both indicate staff
would be subject to disciplinary action including removal from their position and Federal Service for a substantiated
allegation of sexual abuse or violating the agency sexual abuse policy.
(c) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires OPR report all removals or resignations in lieu of removal to appropriate law
enforcement agencies for violations of agency or facility sexual abuse and/or assault policies, unless the activity was
clearly not criminal. The interview with the HQ SAAI SME indicates the LER office would notify law enforcement of all
removals or resignations in lieu of removals for violations of the agency zero-tolerance policy.
(d) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires OPR report all removals or resignations in lieu of removal to any relevant licensing
bodies for violations of agency or facility sexual abuse and/or assault policies, to the extent known. The interview with
the HQ SAAI SME verifies removals for substantiated sexual abuse/violations of sexual abuse policies would be
reported to relevant licensing bodies, to the extent known by SAAI staff.
§115.177(a) and (b) – Corrective action for contractors and volunteers.
☐ Exceeded Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
□ Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)
Notes:
CBP Directive 2130-030 requires any contractor or volunteer suspected of perpetrating sexual abuse and/or assault be
removed from all duties requiring detainee contact pending the outcome of an investigation, as appropriate. Gateway
International Bridge does utilize contract Medical staff who have direct detainee contact. The Auditor interviewed the
PREA Field Coordinator who confirmed that, should there be an allegation involving a contractor, the JIC would be
notified immediately and that the contractor would be prohibited from contact with detainees pending the results of an
investigation. An interview with the HQ SAAI SME indicates the Sector Chief, or their designee, in coordination with
the HQ SAAI SME would notify any licensing body relevant to the contractor's position of a substantiated sexual abuse
by the contractor.
§115.182(a) and (b) – Access to emergency medical services.
☐ Exceeded Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Notes:

(a) (b) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires alleged sexual assault victims be provided timely unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, including emergency contraception and sexually transmitted prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care. It also requires emergency medical treatment services be provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the alleged abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. An interview with the PREA Field Coordinator and random Supervisors confirms detainees who are alleged victims of sexual assault are to receive all medical service and medications without cost even if they do not name the abuser or cooperate with the investigation. The PREA Field Coordinator also reports any detainee requiring medical treatment must be sent to one of the local hospitals (Valley Baptist Medical Center and Valley Regional Medical Center in Brownville) and all care and treatment would be provided at no cost to the detainee.

§115.186(a) – Sexual abuse incident reviews.
□ Exceeded Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
□ Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)
Notes:
(a) CBP Directive 2130-030 requires at the conclusion of every investigation of sexual abuse and/or assault, a sexual
abuse incident review be conducted within 30 days. The review is to determine whether the allegation or investigation
indicates a change is needed in policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse. The
recommendation(s) for improvement outlined in the review must be implemented or the agency must document the
reasons for not doing so in a written response. The report and response is to be forwarded to the PSA Coordinator. The
Auditor interviewed the HQ OFO SME who describes the process for the completion of the review and recommendations.
His description confirms the review is conducted at the conclusion of every investigation of sexual abuse ordinarily within
30 days of receiving the investigation results and a written report is prepared. The report includes any recommendations
for change in policy or practice if determined to better prevent or respond to sexual abuse. He also states
implementation of any recommendation for improvement or reason for not doing so is documented in the report with the
report is forwarded to the PSA Coordinator. An interview with the PREA Field Coordinator confirms he is aware of the
review and recommendation process. An interview with the PSA Coordinator confirms no reviews had been conducted at
Gateway International Bridge due to there being no allegations reported during the previous 12 months.
§115.187 – Data collection.
□ Exceeded Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☐ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
□ Does not meet Standard (requires corrective action)

N/A – Refer to the CBP Sexual A

buse Investigations Audit Report.

X Not Applicable (provide explanation in notes):

ADDITIONAL NOTES

Directions: Please utilize the space below for additional notes, as needed. Ensure the provision referenced is clearly specified.

None.

Notes:

AUDITOR CERTIFICATION:

I certify that the contents of the report are accurate to the best of my knowledge and no conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review. I have not included any personally identified information (PII) about any detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

January 2, 2020

Date

Auditor's Signature

PREA Audit: Subpart B Short-Term Holding Facilities Corrective Action Plan Final Determination



AUDITOR					
			tive Corrections, LLC		
Email Address: (b) (6	6), (b) (7)(C)	Telephone Number: (b) (c	5), (b) (7)(C)		
AGENCY					
Name of Agency: U.S. Customs and Border Protection					
PROGRAM OFFICE					
Name of Program Office:	Office of Field Operations				
SECTOR OR FIELD OFFICE					
Name of Sector or Field Office:	Laredo Field Office				
Name of Chief or Director:	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)				
PREA Field Coordinator:	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)				
Sector or Field Office Physical Address:	109 Shiloh Dr., Suite 301, Laredo TX 78045				
Mailing Address: (if different from above)					
	SHORT-TERM FACIL	ITY BEING AUDITED			
Information About the Facility					
Name of Facility:	Gateway International Bridge				
Physical Address:	3300 South Expressway 77/83, Brownsville TX 78521				
Mailing Address: (if different from above)					
Telephone Number:	(b) (7)(E)				
Facility Leadership					
Name of Officer in Charge:	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	Title:	Assistant Port Director		
Email Address:	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	Telephone Number:	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)		

FINAL DETERMINATION

SUMMARY OF AUDIT FINDINGS:

Directions: Please provide summary of audit findings to include the number of provisions with which the facility has achieved compliance at each level after implementation of corrective actions: Exceeds Standard, Meets Standard, and Does Not Meet Standard.

The Gateway International Bridge on-site audit was completed on August 29, 2019 and the preliminary findings report was submitted on September 9, 2019. Following comments from CBP and Auditor Review, the report was submitted in Final Draft on January 2, 2020.

At that time, the Auditor reviewed the compliance of 25 Part B standards and Gateway International Bridge was found to be in compliance with 24 standards (115.111; 115.114; 115.115; 115.116; 115.117; 115.118; 115.121; 115.122; 115.131; 115.132; 115.141; 115.151; 115.154; 115.161; 115.162: 115.163; 115.164; 115.165; 115.166; 115.167; 115.176; 115.177; 115.182 and 115.186). The facility had not met the requirements for standard 115.113.

On March 27, 2020, Gateway International Bridge submitted a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) to the Auditor through Headquarters. As part of the CAP, the facility submitted a copy of the "After Action Report: Summary of the Annual Review of Detainee Supervision as required by Standard Provision 115.113(b)". This report was found to be sufficient and an analysis of the report follows below. The report was submitted prior to the CAP deadline of 06/30/2020.

PROVISIONS

Directions: After the corrective action period, or sooner if compliance is achieved before the corrective action period expires, the auditor shall complete the Corrective Action Plan Final Determination. The auditor shall insert the provision(s) below that required corrective action and state if the facility's implementation of the provision now "Exceeds Standard," "Meets Standard," or "Does not meet Standard." The auditor shall include the evidence replied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination for each provision that was found non-compliant during the audit.

non-compliant during the audit.	
§115.113 Detainee supervision and monitoring	
☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)	
X Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the	standard for the relevant review
period)	
□ Does not meet Standard	
Notes:	
On March 27, 2020, Gateway International Bridge submitted documentation of the Report of the Annual Review of Detainee Supervision Guidelines as required by Seport was prepared by the PREA Field Coordinator and dated September 23, 20 involving the Acting Assistant Port Director, the Watch Commander and the PREA All elements of supervision required by the standard provision including population size and composition, facility layout and past incidents of sexual abuse findings. The findings supported no current need to change supervision practice. The Auditor's review of this report results in a finding of "Meets Standard".	Standard Provision 115.113 (b). This of the report verified the meeting of Field Coordinator/Chief CBP Officer. (b) (7)(E) detainee e were considered in the facility's
OVERALL DETERMINATION - AFTER IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CORRECTIVE A	CTION PLAN
☐ Exceeds Standards (Substantially Exceeds Requirements of Standards)	⊠ Low Risk
oximes Meets Standards (Substantial Compliance; Complies in All Material Ways with the Standards for the Relevant Review Period)	☐ Not Low Risk
☐ Does Not Meet Standards (Requires Corrective Action)	
AUDITOR CERTIFICATION: I certify that the contents of the report are accurate to the best of my knowledge and no correspect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review. I have not included a information (PII) about any detainee or staff member, except where the names of administ requested in the report template. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	ny personally identified
Auditor's Signature	 Date
Auditor's Signature	Date