

---

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Friday, February 17, 2017 11:44 AM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** FW: Stat Request

Below is the result of OIT running the numbers for all NEXUS applications revoked during the time period request by CBSA this does not include the NEXUS applications revoked due to the EO, please let me know if I can pass this information along to CBSA

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Supervisory CBPO (Program Manager)  
U. S. Customs and Border Protection  
OFO/ Trusted Traveler Programs  
Washington D.C.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

---

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Friday, February 17, 2017 11:31 AM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** RE: Stat Request

There are no denials during time period January 27th to February 9th (2017). So the numbers below are for revocations. These do not include the ones that have "Executive Order" in the comments.

GEC: 24

CBP: 96

Thanks,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Project Lead for GES, GOES, Payment Service  
Contractor Supporting:  
Department of Homeland Security  
CBP/OIT/PSPD/I-Solutions

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

---

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 16, 2017 12:18 PM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** RE: Stat Request

1. Only the totals
2. If you could break it down by denials and revocations

3. Yes

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Supervisory CBPO (Program Manager)  
U. S. Customs and Border Protection  
OFO/ Trusted Traveler Programs  
Washington D.C.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2017 12:15 PM

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Stat Request

Good morning, we're starting to look at this; but we need clarification:

1. You only need totals and not a list of individual PASSIDs, correct?
2. Do you need to differentiate between denials and revocation or one big total for both is OK?
3. By "break it down by CBSA and CBP", do you mean differentiate whether CBSA or CBP (b)(7)(E) or issued the revocation?

Thank you.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Project Lead for GES, GOES, Payment Service  
Contractor Supporting:  
Department of Homeland Security  
CBP/OIT/PSPD/I-Solutions

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Advanced Leave Notice: Monday, February 27, 2017

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, February 13, 2017 11:54 AM

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Stat Request

By the end of the week no real rush on this

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Supervisory CBPO (Program Manager)  
U. S. Customs and Border Protection  
OFO/ Trusted Traveler Programs  
Washington D.C.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, February 13, 2017 11:53 AM

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)



Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Stat Request

I'll pass this along to our DBA. What is your need by date on this?

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Project Lead for GES, GOES, Payment Service  
Contractor Supporting:  
Department of Homeland Security  
CBP/OIT/PSPD/I-Solutions

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, February 13, 2017 11:50 AM

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: Stat Request

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Would you be able to provide us with the total number of NEXUS membership revocations and denials from January 27th to February 9th (2017). This would **not** include anyone who may have been revoked under the executive order and then reinstated. Also if you are able to break it down by CBSA and CBP that would be great.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Supervisory CBPO (Program Manager)  
U. S. Customs and Border Protection  
OFO/ Trusted Traveler Programs  
Washington D.C.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 22, 2017 2:35 PM  
**To:** OFOTASKINGS; NTC Taskings; ENFORCEMENT PROGRAMS DIVISION; (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** RE: [HOT DUE BY 4PM TODAY] [SHORT FUSE Component Tasker] Call with Public Safety Minister Ralph Goodale  
**Attachments:** 20120222 Questions from Canadian officials (TTP response).docx

The attached has TTP comments in track changes.

**From:** OFOTASKINGS  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 22, 2017 1:18 PM  
**To:** NTC Taskings (b)(7)(E) ENFORCEMENT PROGRAMS DIVISION  
 (b)(7)(E) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** HUTTON, JAMES R <(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)>  
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** [HOT DUE BY 4PM TODAY] [SHORT FUSE Component Tasker] Call with Public Safety Minister Ralph Goodale  
**Importance:** High

Good afternoon,

Please see below tasking and provide response pertaining to your AOR, NTL 1530 today.

Sorry for the short turn around.

Regards,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
*OFO Front Office, Information Coordination Unit  
 U. S. Customs and Border Protection  
 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W. Room 2.4B Washington D.C.20229*

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) On Behalf Of CBPTASKING  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 22, 2017 1:09 PM  
**To:** INA TASKING (b)(7)(E)  
**Cc:** CBPTASKING (b)(7)(E) OCC TASKING (b)(7)(E) OFOTASKINGS  
 (b)(7)(E) AMO TASKING (b)(7)(E) BPTasking <(b)(7)(E)>; OS  
 TASKINGS <(b)(7)(E)>; OI TASKERS <(b)(7)(E)> OTTASKING  
 (b)(7)(E)  
**Subject:** [HOT DUE BY 4PM TODAY] [SHORT FUSE Component Tasker] Call with Public Safety Minister Ralph Goodale  
**Importance:** High

<b>Tasker Name</b>	<b>[HOT DUE BY 4PM TODAY] [SHORT FUSE Component Tasker] Call with Public Safety Minister Ralph Goodale</b>
<b>Lead Office(s)</b>	<b>INA</b>
<b>Required Coordination</b>	<i>OCC, OFO, AMO, BP, OS, OI, OT, PLEASE ADVISE</i>
<b>Product</b>	<b>Comments or Concurrence</b>
<b>Requestor</b>	DHS PLCY
<b>Notes</b>	
<b>Due to CBP Tasking</b>	<b>NLT 4PM TODAY</b>

**Please advise ASAP if any other office needs to be added as a required coordinator**

*Required Coordinators - Please provide input to the lead office as soon as possible.*

*Tasker information, contact lists, and templates can be found online at*

*(b)(7)(E) Please ensure that your response adheres to the guidelines set forth in the CBP Style Book, which can be found at (b)(7)(E) Please do not modify subject lines as we use them for tracking purposes.*

**\* Please note: if you receive a duplicate tasking from OC BRIEFING STAFF please cc them on your response to us. Thank you.**

**(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**  
 Office of the Executive Secretariat  
 U.S. Customs and Border Protection  
 Phone: **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**  
 BB: **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

**(b)(5)**



**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**



**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**



**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**



**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**



**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**



**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**



**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Monday, February 20, 2017 12:47 PM  
**To:** HUTTON, JAMES R  
**Cc:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** RE: Field Memos  
**Attachments:** CBP EO Implementation Plan (v3\_02202017 OFO).docx

**Expires:** Saturday, August 19, 2017 12:00 AM

Read guidance on pgs 17-18 and make sure you are OK with it. I added that for travelers who receive a national interest waiver, POEs should not process I193.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
 (A)Director  
 Enforcement Programs  
 Washington, DC, 20229

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**WARNING:** This document is LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE and is designated for OFFICIAL USE ONLY.

It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5USC552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information, and is not to be released to the public or personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval from CBPs Office of Field Operations.

**From:** HUTTON, JAMES R  
**Sent:** Monday, February 20, 2017 12:28 PM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** RE: Field Memos

(b)(5)

J. Ryan Hutton  
 Deputy Executive Director  
 Admissibility and Passenger Programs

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)



**Warning:** This document is UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (U//FOUO). It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It is to be controlled, stored, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval of an authorized DHS official. No portion of this report should be furnished to the media, either in written or verbal form. *This document, and any attachment(s) hereto, may contain confidential and/or sensitive U.S. Government information, and is not for release, review, retransmission, dissemination or use by anyone other than the intended recipient(s). Please notify the sender if this email has been misdirected and immediately destroy all originals and copies of the original. Any disclosure of this document must be approved by U.S. Customs and Border Protection.*

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Monday, February 20, 2017 12:07 PM  
**To:** HUTTON, JAMES R <(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)>  
**Cc:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** FW: Field Memos

Saw your feedback (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and I have reviewed.

(b)(5)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
(A) Director  
Enforcement Programs  
Washington, DC, 20229

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**WARNING:** This document is LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE and is designated for OFFICIAL USE ONLY.

It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5USC552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information, and is not to be released to the public or personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval from CBPs Office of Field Operations.

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Monday, February 20, 2017 12:05 PM  
**To:** HUTTON, JAMES R <(b)(6)>; FLANAGAN, PATRICK S <(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)>;  
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) <(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)>; HOFFMAN, TODD A <(b)(6)>;  
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** RE: Field Memos

All,

If possible, can these requested edits be made directly into the Field Memos.



I have versions but do not want to distribute in case they are outdated and we lose version control.

Thank you.

Best,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) U.S. Customs and Border Protection (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**From:** HUTTON, JAMES R

**Sent:** Monday, February 20, 2017 11:53 AM

**To:** FLANAGAN, PATRICK S: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

HOFFMAN, TODD A: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C); (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**Cc:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C); (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)

**Subject:** RE: Field Memos

(b)(5)

**From:** FLANAGAN, PATRICK S

**Sent:** Monday, February 20, 2017 10:41:57 AM

**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C); HOFFMAN, TODD A; HUTTON, JAMES R: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**Cc:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C); (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**Subject:** Field Memos

Team

(b)(5)

Request feedback by 1300 to (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Will need (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) to review final language.

Thank you for the holiday work.

V/R  
Patrick



**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**



**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

11

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**



**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**



**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**



**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**



**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Saturday, February 04, 2017 8:40 PM  
**To:** HUTTON, JAMES R; HOFFMAN, TODD A  
**Subject:** FW: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points  
**Attachments:** [Predecisional] EO Restart Rollout Plan - v2.docx

On my way home. May I sign in and review first? May delay your respective receipts, and I don't want to keep you awake. Already checked in with (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and CAT. My phone is about to die FYI.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
(A) Director  
Enforcement Programs  
Customs and Border Protection  
(C) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

---

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Saturday, February 04, 2017 7:31:12 PM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** RE: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

All,

Please find first inputs attached. CAT leadership is looking to review at 9:30pm tonight – then we also need to coordinate OCC review.

I think the methodology is to add in all of the steps and TPs that we can and we can par it down after if necessary.

I've used as much of our pre-approved language as possible – highlighting where changes will need to be approved.

I've also noted audience – but this is just my initial take so please edit if the audience for a particular message needs to be narrower or broader.

Thank you!

Best,  
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) | U.S. Customs and Border Protection | (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

---

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Saturday, February 04, 2017 7:04 PM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

Please find the draft outline attached. Add outline items and text and share updates with this group.

I'm going to suggest we get clearance on our rolling list of FAQs at this stage as well, so let's add any FAQs we have been using or have pending.

Thank you!

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) U.S. Customs and Border Protection (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, February 04, 2017 6:24 PM

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

PRE-DECISIONAL

All,

Want to make sure I have all the right people on here – the purpose of this chain is to create a document for OCC review that is something between a Public Affairs Guidance and a Tick-Tock with imbedded statements and TPs in the event that the EO enters into effect again. We should make and mark sections for different parties (field guidance, DHS-internal, media, air carriers, Congressional stakeholders, etc.). I think we can maintain better version control over the document if we work it first then get respective leadership review and finally OCC clearance before it goes up.

Understandably we will have some gaps with respect to legal guidance but we will put in placeholders for information we can't/don't have.

Best,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Office of the Commissioner  
U.S. Customs and Border Protection

Office: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Cell: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT**

PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT

**(b)(5)**

PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT**

PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT

**(b)(5)**

PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT

PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT

**(b)(5)**

PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT



**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Monday, March 06, 2017 6:39 PM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** FW: Executive Order – “Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry to the United States” Signed March 6th, 2017  
**Attachments:** Q&A Protecting The Nation From Foreign Terrorist Entry To The United Sta....pdf; Fact Sheet Protecting The Nation From Foreign Terrorist Entry To The Uni....pdf; EO2017\_03\_06\_16\_57\_49.pdf

PDs,

See the attached new Executive Order, Q&A and Fact Sheet.

As stated earlier today by (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) please prepare FYSA bullets on any adverse action processing of nationals from the six impacted countries between now and March 16th.

Any questions please let me know.

Thanks

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
 Director, Field Operations  
 U.S. Customs and Border Protection  
 Preclearance Field Office

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (office)  
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (cell)

---

**From:** HOFFMAN, TODD A  
**Sent:** Monday, March 06, 2017 5:05:46 PM  
**To:** DIRECTORS FIELD OPS; BORDER SECURITY ASST DIRECTORS; EXEC DIR FIELD OPS  
**Cc:** Owen, Todd C (AC OFO); WAGNER, JOHN P; (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** Executive Order – “Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry to the United States” Signed March 6th, 2017

MEMORANDUM FOR: Directors, Field Operations

FROM: Todd A. Hoffman  
 Executive Director  
 Admissibility and Passenger Programs  
 Office of Field Operations

SUBJECT: Executive Order – “Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry to the United States” Signed March 6<sup>th</sup>, 2017.

Today the President signed a new Executive Order entitled [\*Protecting the Nation From Foreign Terrorist Entry Into the United States\*](#). The new Executive Order is effective at 12:01am EST on March 16, 2017 at which time EO 13769 will be rescinded.

Please note that until the effective date of the new EO, as stated above, the Commissioner’s guidance from February 3, 2017 – directing that U.S. Customs and Border Protection suspend any and all actions implementing specified sections of the previous Executive Order 13769 – remains in effect.

The Commissioner of CBP has directed OFO to establish an Operations Action Team to monitor the Agency’s implementation of the President’s Executive Order. The Team will support the field with policy and guidance, directing Congressional/Media inquiries, and to serve as a conduit on the CBP’s implementation efforts. The Operational Action Team will be led by Executive Director Todd Hoffman.

Pending further guidance on the new Executive Order, Field Offices will continue inspection and processing of travelers in accordance with standard policy and procedure. CBP fully supports unified vetting and screening standards articulated in the Executive Order and stresses the need for continued vigilance to identify and prevent the entry of those people who pose a threat to the United States.

Due to potential media interest as a result of the Executive Order, Field Offices are requested to notify the Executive Director of Field Operations, the Executive Director of Admissibility and Passenger Programs, and the Operations Action Team of any adverse action processing of nationals from the six impacted countries between now and March 16 implementation.

Please direct all inquiries regarding this Executive Order to: (b)(7)(E), or (b)(7)(E)  
(b)(7)(E).

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**



**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5), (b)(7)(E)**

**(b)(5), (b)(7)(E)**

**(b)(5), (b)(7)(E)**

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Monday, February 20, 2017 12:25 PM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** FW: Implementation Plan - Revised EO  
**Attachments:** CBP EO Implementation Plan (v3\_02202017).docx  
**Expires:** Saturday, August 19, 2017 12:00 AM

I have this one if you run with the guidance memo.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
 (A)Director  
 Enforcement Programs  
 Washington, DC, 20229

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

WARNING: This document is LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE and is designated for OFFICIAL USE ONLY.

It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5USC552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information, and is not to be released to the public or personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval from CBPs Office of Field Operations.

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Monday, February 20, 2017 12:24 PM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) HOFFMAN, TODD A  
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C); HUTTON, JAMES R <(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)> FRIEL, MICHAEL J  
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C); FLANAGAN, PATRICK S (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** Implementation Plan - Revised EO

All,  
 Please find the updated Implementation Plan (with edits/comments adjudicated) attached. Please provide any additional comments/edits or clearance by 3:00pm EST today.  
 Once all edits/comments are received from OFO, OCA, and OPA, I will provide the final document for OCC review.  
 Thank you and appreciate your reviewing this document in its multiple iterations.

Best,  
 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) U.S. Customs and Border Protection (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, February 20, 2017 11:27 AM

To: (b)(6) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) FLANAGAN, PATRICK S <(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)> HOFFMAN, TODD A <(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)>; HUTTON, JAMES R <(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)> FRIEL, MICHAEL J <(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)>

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Revised EO for Review

All;

Please find adjudication of OCC comments and OFO edits attached for your review.

Respectfully,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(A) Director  
Enforcement Programs  
Washington, DC, 20229

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

WARNING: This document is LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE and is designated for OFFICIAL USE ONLY.

It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5USC552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information, and is not to be released to the public or personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval from CBPs Office of Field Operations.

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, February 20, 2017 9:56 AM

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) >; FLANAGAN, PATRICK S <(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)> HOFFMAN, TODD A <(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)> >; HUTTON, JAMES R <(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)> FRIEL, MICHAEL J <(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)>

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Revised EO for Review

Here is my best attempt on the Implementation Plan.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Deputy Associate Chief Counsel  
Office of Chief Counsel  
U.S. Customs and Border Protection

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) office)



(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) cell

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, February 20, 2017 3:51 AM

To: FLANAGAN, PATRICK S <(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)> HOFFMAN, TODD A <(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)>

HUTTON, JAMES R <(b)(6)> FRIEL, MICHAEL J <(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)>

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Revised EO for Review

All,

For ease of reference and consolidation I have attached the draft EO (with a few formatting edits) and the draft Implementation Plan here for your review.

Implementation Plan – I have included all of the FAQs including those we drafted on Friday; however, please delete as you deem necessary.

Best,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) U.S. Customs and Border Protection (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: FLANAGAN, PATRICK S

Sent: Sunday, February 19, 2017 11:03 PM

To: HOFFMAN, TODD A <(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)> HUTTON, JAMES R <(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)>

FRIEL, MICHAEL J <(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)>

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Revised EO for Review

Please note the draft remains close hold. Please include counsel on all emails.

Thank you.

V/R  
Patrick

From: FLANAGAN, PATRICK S

Sent: Monday, February 20, 2017 3:55:20 AM

To: HOFFMAN, TODD A; HUTTON, JAMES R; FRIEL, MICHAEL J <(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)>

<(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)>

Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: Revised EO for Review

Team

Please see attached a draft revised EO.

C1 has request your review by 1100. (b)(5), (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) will consolidate any significant edits.

**(b)(5), (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

OFO please update implementation plan accordingly.

Thank you and appreciate everyone's hard work over the weekend and holiday.

V/R  
Patrick

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**



**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**



**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**



**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**



**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**



**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**



**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT**

**(b)(5)**

**PREDECISIONAL/DRAFT**

**(b)(5), (b)(7)(E)**

**(b)(5), (b)(7)(E)**

**(b)(5), (b)(7)(E)**



**(b)(5), (b)(7)(E)**

**(b)(5), (b)(7)(E)**

---

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 07, 2017 3:52 PM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** RE: SENTRI/NEXUS/FAST

Roughly, yes.

---

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 07, 2017 3:51 PM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** FW: SENTRI/NEXUS/FAST

Yes?

---

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 07, 2017 3:48:53 PM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** RE: SENTRI/NEXUS/FAST

Thanks. From the conversation last night with (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) I understand that less than 200 were in nexus. And 2 actually under that status came into the country am I correct?

---

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 07, 2017 3:28:43 PM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** RE: SENTRI/NEXUS/FAST

Yes

---

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 07, 2017 3:24:07 PM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** RE: SENTRI/NEXUS/FAST

These people were reinstated yesterday correct?

---

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Monday, February 06, 2017 9:21:27 AM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** SENTRI/NEXUS/FAST

Here is some clarification:

No US, Mexican or Canadian Citizens were ever revoked based on EO. Only Canadian Lawful Permanent Residents from the 7 countries that were enrolled in the programs were revoked. They still have not been reinstated yet. Does this help?

---

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 07, 2017 3:28 PM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** RE: SENTRI/NEXUS/FAST

They have all been reinstated.

---

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 07, 2017 3:27 PM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** FW: SENTRI/NEXUS/FAST

No one has been reinstated yet correct?

---

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 07, 2017 3:24:07 PM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** RE: SENTRI/NEXUS/FAST

These people were reinstated yesterday correct?

---

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Monday, February 06, 2017 9:21:27 AM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** SENTRI/NEXUS/FAST

Here is some clarification:

No US, Mexican or Canadian Citizens were ever revoked based on EO. Only Canadian Lawful Permanent Residents from the 7 countries that were enrolled in the programs were revoked. They still have not been reinstated yet. Does this help?

**From:** WAGNER, JOHN P  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 05, 2017 9:49 AM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** Owen, Todd C (AC OFO)  
**Subject:** RE: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

Yes, good idea. Lets chat tomorrow. thx

---

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 5, 2017 9:25 AM  
**To:** WAGNER, JOHN P  
**Cc:** Owen, Todd C (AC OFO)  
**Subject:** FW: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

John  
OCA is working on the piece of the roll out plan the C1 requested. In addition to Members on committees of jurisdiction, we are including Members from delegations representing major airports impacted by the EO LaX JFK etc... I would like formula to do a coordination call with those DFOs and provide talking point to engage with Members of Members are requesting to meet with them at the airport rather than tell members they cNt talk with CBP in the field. Could we discuss tomorrow?

Thanks

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

---

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 05, 2017 9:15:19 AM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** FW: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

Latest plan.

---

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 5, 2017 8:52 AM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** RE: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points  
**Importance:** High

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

The below is the immediate implementation version, Attached is the scheduled version wherein we have more time to implement:

Sorry, it was my fault for mixing the two –

The difference is the statement and rollout here:

**(b)(5)**

**From:** HETLAGE, DANIEL

**Sent:** Saturday, February 04, 2017 10:06 PM

**To:** **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

**Subject:** RE: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**



**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**Sent:** Saturday, February 04, 2017 9:11 PM

**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**Subject:** RE: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

Edits/Comments on the Sample Carrier Notice – the comment is contingent on XD/DXD in-put regarding the in-transit pax should the EO be re-instated.

Also the assumption in the Pre-decisional document is that re-instatement of the EO will be phased – we need to also consider what we would need for immediate implementation.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) U.S. Customs and Border Protection (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Saturday, February 04, 2017 8:58 PM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**Subject:** RE: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

Here’s what I have so far for the PREDECISIONAL.

Also included we also have a Draft CAT SOP, carrier notes, and call center script.

See attached.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Operations Director  
CBP HQ  
EO Crisis Action Team  
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Saturday, February 04, 2017 8:31 PM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**Subject:** RE: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

All,

Please find first inputs attached. CAT leadership is looking to review at 9:30pm tonight – then we also need to coordinate OCC review.

I think the methodology is to add in all of the steps and TPs that we can and we can par it down after if necessary.

I've used as much of our pre-approved language as possible – highlighting where changes will need to be approved.

I've also noted audience – but this is just my initial take so please edit if the audience for a particular message needs to be narrower or broader.

Thank you!

Best,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) U.S. Customs and Border Protection (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, February 04, 2017 7:04 PM

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

Please find the draft outline attached. Add outline items and text and share updates with this group.

I'm going to suggest we get clearance on our rolling list of FAQs at this stage as well, so let's add any FAQs we have been using or have pending.

Thank you!

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) U.S. Customs and Border Protection (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, February 04, 2017 6:24 PM

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

PRE-DECISIONAL

All,

Want to make sure I have all the right people on here – the purpose of this chain is to create a document for OCC review that is something between a Public Affairs Guidance and a Tick-Tock with imbedded statements and TPs in the event that the EO enters into effect again. We should make and mark sections for different parties (field guidance, DHS-internal, media, air carriers, Congressional stakeholders, etc.). I think we can maintain better version control over the document if we work it first then get respective leadership review and finally OCC clearance before it goes up.

Understandably we will have some gaps with respect to legal guidance but we will put in placeholders for information we can't/don't have.

Best,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Office of the Commissioner

U.S. Customs and Border Protection

Office: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Cell: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)



**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 07, 2017 4:41 PM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** Another one for your review (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

This one is pretty egregious. (b)(7)(E)

(b)(7)(E). GES comments are below. The Enrollment Center addressed these concerns via the remark on 1/19/17 and did not provide any attachments or other explanation. I revoked and provided the most recent comments below.

**Comment**

USER ID	DATE	COMMENT TYPE	COMMENT
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)	2017-03-07	Biographic	(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)
	2017-01-19	Request	Vermont's recommendation; PASS
	2007-01-10	Biographic	(b)(7)(E)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Program Manager  
Trusted Traveler Programs  
U.S. Customs and Border Protection  
Office of Field Operations  
Washington, DC

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) - Office

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 21, 2017 8:55 AM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Unset request

Morning (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

GES is not giving me the option to reinstate this membership. Could you see if you have the option and if not, request OIT make the change? He will also need demotion. Thank you.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
*Ombudsman, CBP Trusted Traveler Programs  
 FAST, NEXUS, SENTRI, Global Entry  
 Boston Field Office - Williston, VT*

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 21, 2017 8:01 AM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Unset request

Good Morning (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

May pass id (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) be reinstated?

Thanks.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
 Program Manager  
 Office of Field Operations  
 U.S. Customs and Border Protection  
 Department of Homeland Security

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Friday, February 17, 2017 6:05 PM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Unset request

Ms. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Member with GES# listed above was revoked membership by HQ today due to his conviction of Grand Theft in Idaho on (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) of which he was sentenced a 3-Year Probation.

Member provided court documents, scanned to the GES profile as an attachment, that stated

“Upon expiration of the period of Probation, or the earlier termination thereof, if the defendant hasr fully complied with the terms of probation, then and in that event, the action shall be dismissed”.

The last page in the attachment is a statement from the Seventh Judicial District Court of Idaho that the defendant has fully and satisfactorily complied with all of the terms of the probation and is now entitled to be discharged and fully released from said probation.

Thank you

**(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

Supervisor, Blaine Enrollment Center  
Blaine, WA

**(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

**From:** WAGNER, JOHN P  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 05, 2017 4:25 PM  
**To:** Owen, Todd C (AC OFO)  
**Subject:** RE: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

Ok. Will talk to [REDACTED] tomorrow morning.

**From:** Owen, Todd C (AC OFO)  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 05, 2017 3:18:24 PM  
**To:** WAGNER, JOHN P  
**Subject:** RE: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

(b)(5)

Todd C. Owen  
 Executive Assistant Commissioner  
 Office of Field Operations  
 U.S. Customs & Border Protection

**From:** WAGNER, JOHN P  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 05, 2017 2:48:48 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Owen, Todd C (AC OFO)  
**Subject:** RE: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

Yes, good idea. Lets chat tomorrow. thx

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 5, 2017 9:25 AM  
**To:** WAGNER, JOHN P  
**Cc:** Owen, Todd C (AC OFO)  
**Subject:** FW: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

John  
 OCA is working on the piece of the roll out plan the C1 requested. In addition to Members on committees of jurisdiction, we are including Members from delegations representing major airports impacted by the EO LaX JFK etc... I would like formula to do a coordination call with those DFOs and provide talking point to engage with Members of Members are requesting to meet with them at the airport rather than tell members they cNt talk with CBP in the field. Could we discuss tomorrow?

Thanks  
 [REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 05, 2017 9:15:19 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points  
 Latest plan.

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 5, 2017 8:52 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED]

**(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

Cc:

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

Subject: RE: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

Importance: High

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

The below is the immediate implementation version, Attached is the scheduled version wherein we have more time to implement:

Sorry, it was my fault for mixing the two –

The difference is the statement and rollout here:

**(b)(5)**

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, February 04, 2017 10:06 PM

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

Subject: RE: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**



**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

**(b)(5)**

From: **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**  
Sent: Saturday, February 04, 2017 9:11 PM

To: **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**  
**(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

Cc: **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**  
**(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

Subject: RE: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

Edits/Comments on the Sample Carrier Notice – the comment is contingent on XD/DXD in-put regarding the in-transit pax should the EO be re-instated.

Also the assumption in the Pre-decisional document is that re-instatement of the EO will be phased – we need to also consider what we would need for immediate implementation.

**(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** U.S. Customs and Border Protection **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

From: **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**  
Sent: Saturday, February 04, 2017 8:58 PM

To: **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**  
**(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

Cc: **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

**(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

Subject: RE: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

Here's what I have so far for the PREDECISIONAL.

Also included we also have a Draft CAT SOP, carrier notes, and call center script.

See attached.

**(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**  
Operations Director  
CBP HQ  
EO Crisis Action Team  
**(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

From: **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**  
Sent: Saturday, February 04, 2017 8:31 PM  
To: **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

**(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

Cc: **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

**(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

Subject: RE: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

All,

Please find first inputs attached. CAT leadership is looking to review at 9:30pm tonight – then we also need to coordinate OCC review.

I think the methodology is to add in all of the steps and TPs that we can and we can par it down after if necessary.

I've used as much of our pre-approved language as possible – highlighting where changes will need to be approved.

I've also noted audience – but this is just my initial take so please edit if the audience for a particular message needs to be narrower or broader.

Thank you!

Best,  
**(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

**(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** U.S. Customs and Border Protection **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

From: **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**  
Sent: Saturday, February 04, 2017 7:04 PM  
To: **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

**(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

Cc: **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

**(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

Please find the draft outline attached. Add outline items and text and share updates with this group.

I'm going to suggest we get clearance on our rolling list of FAQs at this stage as well, so let's add any FAQs we have been using or have pending.

Thank you!

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) J.S. Customs and Border Protection (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, February 04, 2017 6:24 PM

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: Field/Stakeholder Engagement Plan and Talking Points

PRE-DECISIONAL

All,

Want to make sure I have all the right people on here – the purpose of this chain is to create a document for OCC review that is something between a Public Affairs Guidance and a Tick-Tock with imbedded statements and TPs in the event that the EO enters into effect again. We should make and mark sections for different parties (field guidance, DHS-internal, media, air carriers, Congressional stakeholders, etc.). I think we can maintain better version control over the document if we work it first then get respective leadership review and finally OCC clearance before it goes up.

Understandably we will have some gaps with respect to legal guidance but we will put in placeholders for information we can't/don't have.

Best,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Office of the Commissioner

U.S. Customs and Border Protection

Office: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Cell: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)